Appendix B

Public Law Library Collection Development Survey

By L. Selwyn, © 2011

Surveys Collected as of 06-21-11 via Survey Monkey Link http://www.surveymonkey.com/s/LK95WKQ
Posted 06-13-11

Due to a technical error on the part of the survey designer, the last four questions were not visible and accessible to the first eleven responders therefore those questions have a built in 100% response rate for 45 responses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question 1: Approximately how much do you spend on your library’s collection annually?</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;$5,999.99</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$6,000.00-$10,99.99</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$11,000.00-$25,999.99</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$26,000.00-$50,999.99</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$51,000.00-$75,999.99</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$76,000.00-$99,999.99</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100,000.00-$149,999.99</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;$150,000.00</td>
<td>64.3%</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (Please specify)</td>
<td>.0537%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other:
1. $1,000,000+.
2. This is average. We don’t expect anywhere near that next year. This includes subscriptions, etc. However, we are being forced to cancel a lot.
3. About $275,000.00.

Answered Question 56
Skipped Question 0
Question 2: What types of resources does your library currently purchase?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Print - single item one time purchase</td>
<td>89.3%</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Print - continuation or serial subscription</td>
<td>98.2%</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CALR Public Access Contract</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CALR Single User Password Contract</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-Book</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD-ROM</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WESTPAC™ or similar contract</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library Maintenance Agreement (LMA)</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other:
1. Online subscriptions: Westlaw, LexisNexis, FastCase, HeinOnline, etc.
2. Our CALR services are contracted for Nationally and we have a federal contract that is spelled out by D. C. We also have Hein online and Ebsco and some others. Some are national and some within the 8th circuit. Some are local, i.e., The bluebook.
4. OTHER ONLINE DATA BASES.
5. Donations.
6. AUDIO/VIDEO MCLE MATERIALS.

Answered Question 56  
Skipped Question 0

Question 3: Do you anticipate making changes to your current collection development plan because of flat or decreased revenues?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>33.9%</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do Not Know</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other:
1. On the contrary, we have fortunately experienced increased revenues and increases to our book budget.
2. ALREADY HAVE CUT BACK SIGNIFICANTLY.

Answered Question 56  
Skipped Question 0
Question 4: What kinds of collection development plan changes do you foresee for the upcoming FY?

Response Count: 44

Responses:
1. I will probably cancel hard copy law reviews (from state law schools-they’re the only ones) and think about eliminating law reviews from Westlaw & Lexis online subscriptions and look into Hein for all law reviews.
2. Discontinue some titles. I have already dropped Nichols and MN digest.
3. Do not know at this time. Will have a better idea closer to the end of the calendar year.
4. No major changes.
5. None.
6. I am fortunate to have recognition that library subscription costs increase annually. I have not been asked to cancel anything, so no changes.
7. We are always looking for ways to improve the collection, but have not put anything into practice yet.
8. Fewer reporters, digests, law reviews (almost none), within circuit co-collecting to cut down on number of copies.
9. Depends on the current legislative session.
10. May need to cut back on print resources due to anticipated decrease in revenue.
11. Trying to avoid any more LMAs.
13. Reduced print purchases.
14. Cancellation of some titles and adding others.
15. Cutting some print subscriptions.
16. Discontinuing some looseleafs, pruning our LMA.
17. Purchase less. Weed more. Enter into a LMA.
18. More emphasis on single editions with focus on Texas law only and forms. No more print serials except for SouthWest Reporter.
19. Possible cancellation of titles due to decreased funding.
21. Reduce coverage from our collection that we don’t receive filing fee as a county law library. So eventually no federal coverage. Directing litigants to local federal district court library which is open to litigants with judges’ written permissions. Beside align our collection with our funding source, we also found that federal practice collection attracts users with mindsets we don’t care for.
22. CALR budget will go up - buying WestlawNext for public and judges.
23. Few new purchases and many cuts to existing subscriptions.
24. Reduce or WL and LX packages to save money. At the time of WL renegotiating cancelling several large print sets.
25. The LL continues to evaluate use per cost and will discontinue expensive materials that are available at other nearby libraries.
26. FEWER NEW PURCHASES. CANCELLATIONS OF MATTHEWBNEDER AND OTHER NON WEST PRODUCTS.
27. Reducing electronic and print subscriptions.
28. Reduction of print materials and addition of online resources.
30. Cancellations.
31. Cutting as much as possible.
32. Reduction in budget allotment, which will require many cancellations of titles and subscriptions.
33. Rolling cancellations.
34. E-Books.
35. We’ve already made substantial cuts to our collection development. We’ll be watching every penny & will continue to look for ways to provide accurate, current information while staying within our budget.
36. More cancellations.
37. Cancellation of titles due to decreased funding.
38. None specifically -- we’ll just continue to carefully monitor what we purchase.
39. Eliminate all standing orders; possibly cancel journals; reduce CALR contracts.
40. We plan on decreasing our books and subscriptions budget for the next fiscal year. We may discontinue updating several of our subscription print titles and we might purchase fewer new titles. We are also looking into new contracts for online databases, including signing up for new databases and changing our current contracts when they expire.
41. I’m very fortunate to not have budget issues. I don’t plan on making many changes to what is a good sized collection. Plus, this is the largest law library in the state so we think we need to maintain print. Online subs for public access seem to me to be harder to market and then train people to use.
42. E-books, self-published resources.
43. We are taking wait and see attitude. We had to cancel so much 2 years ago, that the goal would be to put back as many titles as we can but it will all depend on available funds.
44. Adding slightly be adding e-books and maybe one additional on-line database vendor. (We currently have most of them.)

**Answered Question 44**

**Skipped Question 12**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question 5: Which types of vendors do you use to purchase materials?</th>
<th>Response Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One or more jobbers (i.e. Baker &amp; Taylor®, Yankee Book Peddler®)</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amazon®, Alibris®, etc.</td>
<td>42.2%</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomson-West® or WESTLAW®</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lexis-Nexis Matthew-Bender®</td>
<td>95.6%</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNA®</td>
<td>75.6%</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABA</td>
<td>73.3%</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolters Kluwer®</td>
<td>88.9%</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>purchase everything (print &amp; non-print) from one company</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td>24.45%</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Other:
1. I also get some titles from other small publishers - things like directories, yearbooks, some treatises.
2. Nolo Press, CEB; We also utilize amazon.com.
3. We order direct from each vendor.
4. PLI, CCH.
5. University publishers, but mainly the big legal publishers above.
6. State Bar, wherever we can find it.
7. CCH, PLI, MSBA.
9. Nolo, Juris, Sphinx, Knowles, MN CLE, NCLC, PLI.
10. icle, alm, hein, etc.
11. Hein CEB James.

Answered Question 45
Skipped Question 11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question 6: Do you anticipate changing vendors or purchasing options for the upcoming FY?</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>71.1%</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do Not Know</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Answered Question 45
Skipped Question 11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question 7: Please clarify your answer to the previous question. If “yes”, why and what change(s) do you expect to make. If “no”, why you expect to leave things as they are. If “do not know”, please state the reason (such as no need to change).</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Responses:

1. This library was downsized from 3000 sq. ft. to 1290 sq. ft. I’ve been tweaking the collection ever since. I’ve managed to get rid of expensive titles that were not being used; cut down on duplication/overlap of subject coverage; basically the collection is evolving a lot due to changes in the needs of the patrons: public and attorneys.
2. We like the flexibility.
3. I plan to continue to use all of my present vendors and perhaps add.
4. dddd.
5. Current arrangement is working at this time.
6. There is no reason to make a change.
7. Not broke; no need to fix it.
8. We have good relations with the vendors we use so no need to make any changes.
9. We are in the process of re-assessing our needs and looking at the long-term picture. We will know more as the summer gets here.
10. CALR contracts are up 2014. We expect no change other than purchasing less....
11. We anticipate changes in the upcoming fiscal year based on potential budget cuts due to the law-
makers and other “power people” not understanding/caring about the reality of providing legal
research materials and resources and reference assistance.
12. We have already cut a portion, to the point of being “lean and mean.” Further reductions would be
based on statutory changes which have not yet occurred.
13. We have a good mix going right now.
14. No need to change.
15. Most of what we buy is vendor specific. This leaves few options to change.
16. Changing what I get from each vendor to better meet my patrons needs.
17. I won’t be changing vendors, but will most likely decrease spending with some of them.
18. Satisfy with the service.
20. The LMA will be the only change I anticipate.
21. Always looking for ideas from our patrons as to their needs and their focus.
22. May only purchase “less” from a particular vendor.
23. We take a long hard look at our LMA - we may not keep it.
24. I do not anticipate a change nor see a need for a change at this time.
25. Less national title from the big 3 and more in-state title from local publishers.
26. Probably will not do LMA. Revenue is holding steady.
27. No need to change at this time.
28. Our LMA is due for renewal next year. We will make cuts, but we will keep our West subscriptions
on an LMA.
29. NA.
30. Don’t see a need to change at this time.
31. I see no reason to change vendors. I like the control I have now and do not use any jobbers.
32. We plan to reduce the size of collection but not the assortment of vendors.
33. Our way of doing things is working for us.
34. Satisfied with our vendors.
35. We’ve already done an assessment and have a plan in place that includes write for order over the
next 4 years.
36. I am not the Acquisitions/Serials Librarian or the Director.
37. -
38. No need to change; not that many vendors for what we need.
39. -
40. Many of the items we purchase are vendor-specific, so there aren’t any options to change.
41. No need to change.
42. My plan works and I’m sticking to it.
43. Exploit print titles on online patron access databases.
44. The products that are used here come from these vendors. We plan to just cancel certain titles and
repurchase a couple of years down the road.
45. We use the vendors we need to get the information our patrons need.

Answered Question 45
Skipped Question 11