Index

A
academic administration information system 108
administrative systems 194
American culture 88
Australia 160, 176
B
better training 25
business process reengineering (BPR) 53
Business Reengineering 50
C
campus management system 192
CEO 73
change 177
change agent 73
change management 133, 203
CIO (chief information officer) 3
collectivism 91, 98
communication 7, 132
complex technology 22
complexity 160
comprehensive business reengineering 50
covert resistance 181
CQU 130
credential programs 63
credential transparency 62
critical success factor (CSF) 42, 89, 162, 196, 212
critical success framework 214
cross-cultural 88
cultural transformation 107
culturally symbolic elements 107
culture 87, 91, 151, 275
D
decision-making 88
decision-making process 202
distrust 130
E
early use time period 27
end user ability 253
enrollment 64
enterprise planning systems (ERPs) 87
enterprise resource planning (ERP) 5, 23, 40, 74, 107, 124, 161, 193, 263
enterprise-wide systems 2
ERP adoption 128
ERP benefits 197
ERP communication 9
ERP implementation 1
ERP life cycle 5
ERP system 58
ERP usage 25

F
femininity 91, 98
financial services 141
forgiveness policy 199

G
golden triangle corporation (GTC) 144
governance and leadership 79
governance system 72
grounded theory 23, 42
grounded theory approach 40
grounded theory research methodology 25

H
health care 72
health organizations 74
health-care leaders 72
higher education 40
higher education institutions (HEI) 40
human expression 111

I
implementation 2
individual impact 214
individualism 91, 98
informal learning 34
information quality 214, 239
information system (IS) 127, 164, 212, 265
information technology (IT) 71, 72
institutional funding 58, 63
institutionalized 161
intention to use 239
interaction theory 154
InterMountain Regional Medical Center 78
international campuses 126
IS success model 239
IS training 245

Israel 89
IT innovation 75
IT use 24

K
Kentucky Community and Technical College System 57

L
leadership 130

M
management information system (MIS) 164
management support 48
manipulation of power 141
masculinity 91, 98
mature use time period 27
metaphors 108

N
national culture 88
net benefit 240
new knowledge creation 66

O
Oracle 169
organizational capabilities 58
organizational change 177
organizational culture 108, 111
organizational governance 76
organizational impact 214
organizational revolution 124
overt resistance 181

P
paradigm model 45
PeopleSoft 26, 134, 140, 141, 235
political 141
political behavior 141
political Tactics 155
politics 160
“portalized” knowledge management system 161
postimplementation 26  
postimplementation review (PIR) 15  
power 161  
power distance 91  
power distribution 177  
power shift 141  
power users 31  
process approach 90  
project manager 51

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>quality 232, 243</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>resistance 177</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockhampton Regional Institute 125</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rural health organizations 74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rural hospital 71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SAO 183</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>service quality 239</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>social control 76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>social dramas 161</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sociotechnical systems approach 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>software modifications 198</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>strategic change leadership 72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>strategic information systems planning 264</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>student administration (SA) 177</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>student administration module (SAM) 177</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| student administrative officers (SAOs) 177 |  |
| student recruitment 61 |  |
| student retention 62 |  |
| success 233 |  |
| support quality 238 |  |
| surface-structural 143 |  |
| system design 155 |  |
| system quality 239 |  |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>transition 193</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trust 53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>U</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UM 194</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uncertainty avoidance 91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States 89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>use quality 262, 274</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>user acceptance 12, 243, 246</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>user analysis 236</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>user attitude 247, 270</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>user involvement 12, 52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>user Participation 52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>user resistance 108</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>user satisfaction 223, 234, 244, 249</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>user training 237</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>users’ interaction 28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>