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INTRODUCTION

Electronic government has become a powerful administrative tool for governments around the world (Dawes & Pardo, 2002; Fountain, 2001; UN & ASPA, 2002). Governments at all levels are attempting to improve services and increase their interactions with citizens using information and communication technologies (ICTs). In Mexico, state and local governments are expending large amounts of money to introduce ICTs in operational tasks, as well as in the provision of public services. Many of these governments have created Web pages, which provide information about government agencies and, in some cases, allow transactions. Unfortunately, in Mexico, there is no systematic or rigorous research program that measures and assesses the evolution and impact of e-government.

As an initial step of a broader research effort, this study focuses on the functionality of state portals, looking at technical aspects, as well as their potential to improve the quality of the services provided by states. According to the managerial perspective, electronic government must focus on managerial processes. Similar to the concept of e-management (Gil-García & Luna-Reyes, 2003), this perspective establishes that the main objective of e-government is to improve managerial effectiveness and efficiency. For example, Wescott (2002) states, “e-government is the use of information and communication technology (ICT) to promote more efficient and cost-effective government, facilitate more convenient government services, allow greater public access to information, and make government more accountable to citizens” (p. 1).

West (2001) and Toral (2000) also pay attention to managerial processes but in their relationships to services and citizens. West states that e-government refers to the delivery of information and services online through the Internet. Similarly, Toral says, “electronic government is the future government, which offers and performs services to the population in the way they need” (p. 6A). Finally, Holmes (2001) states, “Electronic government or e-government is the use of information technology, in particular the internet, to deliver public services in a much more convenient, customer-oriented, cost-effective, and altogether different and a better way” (p. 2).

BACKGROUND: APPROACHES TO E-GOVERNMENT ASSESSMENT

There is a current debate about the concept and characteristics of electronic government (Prins, 2001; Gil-García & Luna-Reyes, 2003; Schelin, 2003). This debate can be interpreted through (1) managerial, (2) citizen-centered, and (3) evolutionary perspectives, among others. This section very briefly describes these three perspectives and attempts to highlight their advantages and limitations as approaches to e-government assessment. This article takes the evolutionary perspective to preliminary evaluate state portals in Mexico.

Managerial Perspective

According to the managerial perspective, electronic government must focus on managerial processes. Similar to the concept of e-management (Gil-García & Luna-Reyes, 2003), this perspective establishes that the main objective of e-government is to improve managerial effectiveness and efficiency. For example, Wescott (2002) states, “e-government is the use of information and communication technology (ICT) to promote more efficient and cost-effective government, facilitate more convenient government services, allow greater public access to information, and make government more accountable to citizens” (p. 1).

West (2001) and Toral (2000) also pay attention to managerial processes but in their relationships to services and citizens. West states that e-government refers to the delivery of information and services online through the Internet. Similarly, Toral says, “electronic government is the future government, which offers and performs services to the population in the way they need” (p. 6A). Finally, Holmes (2001) states, “Electronic government or e-government is the use of information technology, in particular the internet, to deliver public services in a much more convenient, customer-oriented, cost-effective, and altogether different and a better way” (p. 2).

Citizen-Centered Perspective

The citizen-centered approach to electronic government emphasizes the predominant role of citizens as drivers of e-government. This perspective also offers a critical lens to evaluate the influence of e-government initiatives on individuals and societies. Pipa Norris (2000) says that the influence of the Internet on societies, especially on the
poor ones, can change their life by improving education and access to government decisions. It should be clear that the nature of e-government is different from how businesses use the Internet to interact with customers. For example, Naief Yehya (2002) mentions, “Substantially, the egov idea is radically different to the e-commerce idea” (p. 31). This technology can make life simpler for people and their real objective is to promote, protect and make strong the democratic values (p. 32).

In summary, the managerial perspective is mainly oriented to processes, methods, information, and government legitimacy, excludes any links with citizens and avoids the opportunity to make interactions the strongest part of the process. In contrast, the citizen-centered perspective focuses on people’s needs and takes into consideration important transformations in government procedures to make services and information more accessible to citizens.

**Evolutionary Perspective**

This kind of vision maintains the assumption that electronic government is evolutionary. Some authors contend that each one of the stages is already electronic government. Others delimit from which of the phases a government can be considered electronic. After reviewing different ways to present the stages of e-government, the following model was integrated as the synthesis of previous theoretical developments (see Table 1).

Three hypotheses frame this research. First, Mexican state portals provide valuable information but few online services. Accordingly, the majority of the state portals are in the first stages of e-government (e.g., initial presence, extended presence). Second, state governments are mainly using old and less sophisticated Web technologies for their portals. With existing technologies, it is much more difficult to communicate complex information and provide transactional services. Third, there are sizable differences among state portals. Those differences may be related to economic and political factors, as well as to specific state e-government initiatives.

**RESEARCH METHOD**

In order to answer our hypotheses, we conducted a systematic analysis of the 32 state portals between January and February of 2005. The questionnaire includes 58

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E-Government Stage</th>
<th>Additional Technological and Organizational Sophistication</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Presence            | • Limited government information
                   | • Few Web pages developed by single agencies
                   | • Static information about government structure and services | UN & ASPA, 2002 |
| Information         | • More dynamic information (frequent updates)
                   | • Greater number of Web pages
                   | • Statewide portal as the entry point with links to most of the state pages | Hiller & Bélanger, 2001; Layne & Lee, 2001; Moon, 2002; UN & ASPA, 2002; Holden, Norris & Fletcher, 2003 |
| Interaction         | • Forms that can be downloaded
                   | • Two-way communication through electronic mail
                   | • Use of search engines
                   | • Use of chats, forums or other forms of interactive communication (service related)
                   | • Some customization (citizen’s profiles, use of passwords) | Hiller & Bélanger, 2001; Moon, 2002; UN & ASPA, 2002 |
| Transaction         | • Online services (secure and completely online), including accepting electronic payments (e.g., credit cards)
                   | • More customization (use of passwords, citizen’s profiles, etc.)
                   | • Portal organized according to people’s needs instead of government structures | Hiller & Bélanger, 2001; Layne & Lee, 2001; Moon, 2002; UN & ASPA, 2002; Holden, Norris & Fletcher, 2003 |
| Integration         | • Service portal with a single checkout point
                   | • Multiple agencies, **same** function, **different** levels of government
                   | • Multiple agencies, **different** functions, **same** level of government
                   | • Multiple agencies, **different** functions, **different** levels of government | Hiller & Bélanger, 2001; Moon, 2002; UN & ASPA, 2002; Layne & Lee, 2001; Holden, Norris & Fletcher, 2003 |
| Political Participation | • Political participation
                   | • Online public forums/Opinion surveys
                   | • Online voting | Hiller & Bélanger, 2001; Moon, 2002 |
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