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ABSTRACT

Many authors argue that information and communications technology (ICT) in this New Economy is causing a globalized, unified society. Others take the opposite stand, viewing local factors such as national culture as very important to the success of information technology (IT). Research indicates that related factors such as gender may also play important roles in the use and acceptance of IT. This chapter uniquely examined these by using electronic commerce as the common technology. Business students from the U.S., Greece, and England expressed opinions on the important issues of National Control, Privacy Cost, Property Rights, and Consumer Preferences. Using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA), sufficient evidence was found to conclude that there are
statistically significant differences in attitude about e-commerce among cultural
groups, but not with gender groups. This research found support for several studies
indicating the importance of culture on attitudes about technology, and postulated
that common attitudes about Privacy Cost and Consumerism may be among indicators
of a “technology veneer”.

INTRODUCTION

Although the last few years have witnessed an explosive growth in electronic commerce
activities in many parts of the world, very little is known about the exact nature,
dynamics, and impact of this phenomenon. There is a certain paucity of systematic
investigations reported in the literature. (Lee, M., 2001, p. 3)

In this age of information, researchers postulate that information technology (IT)
is providing a new medium to finally unite society. According to the futurist Francis
Fukuyama (1992), the end of the cold war signals a shift towards a “normative integration
of principles and institutions”. Todd (1986) states that technology provides ever-
narrowing approaches to social problems, and these approaches are reducing social
options. He notes that an “unnatural” selection process caused by technology results
where opportunities and demands presented by modern technology promote the conver-
gence of all societies towards a single set of social patterns and individual behaviors,
due in part to the requirements of technology for a common set of development and
implementation steps, and for common organization constraints. Rosabeth Moss Kanter
(1995), in her classic World Class, predicts that internationalism will prevail over
“nativism”. That is, for future corporate profitability, the boundaries of national identity
must be subsumed to the need for quality products and global goodwill.

Greider (1997) writes of One World, Ready or Not, with mobile phones as the
“universal artifact of the revolution”. He predicts that there will be four major competitive
factors: labor, national governments, multinational corporations, and financial inves-
tors. Hope and Hope (1997) discuss the “third wave” leading to more homogeneous
global marketing patterns. Cairncross (1997) observes that time zones and language
groups will soon define distance, rather than mileage. She further notes that the credit
card is the certain symbol of a unified world currency. Kevin Kelly (1998), editor of Wired
magazine, in his New Rules for the New Economy, writes that technology has “been able
to infiltrate into our lives to the degree it has become more like us...Technology has
become our culture” (p. 33).

On the other hand, however, some argue that a globalization of society has not, and
perhaps will not occur. Ferkiss, in Technological Man (1969), claims that the existence
of technology change presupposes cultural acceptance, and that a single culture,
“embracing both the famine-stricken world and the well-fed nations is obviously
impossible...cultural variation is likely to be the rule” (p. 171). Volti (1992) observes that
technologies developed and implemented in one culture or society may fail when taken
to a different setting. Nelson and Clark (1994) note that European firms commonly
develop differing computer systems for different nations. Hamel and Prahalad (1994), in
their classic Competing for the Future, warn firms to address and work with global
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