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ABSTRACT

Given the lack of transparency and the extent to which corruption is endemic to most Latin American countries, it is not surprising to find a high level of citizen distrust in political institutions. Parliaments and political parties are the institutions most affected by this crisis of representative democracy, and receive the lowest levels of public confidence. In recent years, many initiatives, including those based on Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs), have been developed with the aim of revitalizing democracy, increasing transparency in public management, and opening up new spaces for political participation. However, the consequences of such initiatives are still unknown while the potential benefits of e-participation remain controversial: Should parliaments promote e-participation in societies that experience such a huge digital divide? Should participation be a top-down process initiated by governments or parliaments? Or should they increase accountability and leave participation in hands of the people? The aims of this chapter are twofold: (i) to analyze to what extent parliaments are offering more and better information to the public, and are becoming more transparent and accountable through the use of ICTs, and (ii) to examine the spread and scope of participatory initiatives in the law-making process.
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INTRODUCTION

After more than thirty years in the third wave of the process of democratization that began in the late 1970s, which put an end to the authoritarian regimes that held sway in most countries in Latin America, democracy has gradually consolidated its place in political life. However, while this region has more or less institutionalized a democratic regime as a form of government, faces a succession of social and political crises. Popular demonstrations have often led to early elections and/or the establishment of transitional and provisional governments. Among the South American countries analyzed here, this has occurred in Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Ecuador, Paraguay, Peru and Venezuela where at least one government’s resignation was provoked by popular demonstration. According to Freedom House, only half the countries were considered to be free democracies in 2008, the other five qualifying as only partly free countries. The perception of corruption in this region is not much better, with five countries scoring as highly corrupt (Argentina, Bolivia, Ecuador, Paraguay and Venezuela), three as corrupt (Brazil, Colombia and Peru) and only two as relatively clean (Chile and Uruguay). In this scenario, it is not surprising to find a high level of citizen distrust. Parliaments and political parties are the institutions most affected by this crisis of representative democracy, and receive the lowest levels of public confidence. According to CIMA 2008, in all countries analyzed, citizens trust in the church and in the television news more than they do in justice, parliament or political parties. In Ecuador, Paraguay and Peru, confidence in parliament is less than 10% while these scores are even worse for political parties.

If ICTs could help to reinforce democracy, what governments do and to what extent people has access to the Internet are conditions to reach that result. As can be observed in table 1, even if slower than in Western countries, Internet is growing in the region (ITU, 2009) and governments are providing online services that open up participation channels for online participation in public affairs (measured through the UN 2010 index of e-participation).

In Latin America a concern for accountability on the one hand, and equilibrium between powers on the other, has dominated the political agenda in recent years. Studies dealing with the quality of democracy and institutional instability are numerous, as well as is the spread of concepts such as delegative democracy (O’Donnell, 1994) or hyperpresidentialism. All the Latin American countries are presidential systems, but during the last couple of decades, a trend to reinforce presidential power against the legislative has been observed; something evidenced by the elimination of one chamber and or the reduction of number of seats (e.g Perú, Venezuela) or the strong use of presidential decrees to overthrow parliaments resulting in the production of direct legislation (e.g. Argentina specially during Menem’s government or Peru with Furtimori among several others).

The idea of delegative democracy defines situations where winning presidential candidates present themselves as being above the stature of all parties. This concept is used to describe several Latin American democracies and has to be taken into account to understand the role of parliaments in the region, associated with inefficiency, weakness and dependency of the government. Despite strong presidentialisms, there have been also abundant examples of the role of parliaments; in particular in the context of a crisis, such as the Argentinian crisis of 2001, when the President abandoned his role in government in the middle of a popular demonstration, leaving parliament to take control of the situation and organize the transition to a new government. In Paraguay, Ecuador and Peru, parliament has also assisted in maintaining the democratic process of their institutions (Bosoer, 2008).