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ABSTRACT

The new workplace is a key arena for learning in today’s society. The spiraling demand for knowledge in the workplace has increased interest in learning, especially team learning. Team learning can be viewed from multiple perspectives, making it difficult for career and technical educators (CTEs) to know how to prepare students for a team-based work environment, especially one that includes virtual teams. In addition, emerging technology adds to the confusion about how to provide effective learning experiences that mirror what is occurring in the workplace. To prepare the workforce of tomorrow, CTE instructors can become facilitators of team learning by providing ample opportunity for learners to practice team skills in a low-risk learning environment. By providing the exposure and practice to team learning skills and technology tools, CTEs may help equip students with added skills in entering a global workplace.

INTRODUCTION

The new workplace is a key arena for learning in today’s society. Because of the rapid pace of change brought about by new forms of work, globalization, and technological advances, learning the need for learning is pervasive in all types of organizations. The speed of change influences workplaces whether they are businesses, governmental agencies, health care organizations, not-for-profit groups, or educational institutions. The spiraling demand for knowledge in the workplace has increased interest in learning, especially team learning. Fenwick (2008) notes that prior to 1990 most of the literature viewed learning in the workplace as an individual experience. Since then, concepts such as the “learning organiza-
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As learning shifts from the individual to the collective, using research to describe team learning provides multiple lenses from which to understand how learning in groups is different from learning on one’s own. How does a team learn? Some authors contend that team learning differs from individual learning and goes beyond team development. For example, Kasl, Marsick, and Dechant (1997) note that although teams might work their way through Tuckman’s (1965) stages of forming, norming, storming, and performing they may not create the new knowledge of collective learning. In another example, Pawlowsky (2001) suggests that team learning occurs in four phases: information generation, diffusion, integration, and action. In the first phase, participants identify and generate information about a common goal. At this point, only individual learning is occurring. Second is diffusion or the exchange of information from individuals to the team. This phase is like a conversation where individual team members voice their ideas and better understand the ideas of others. Third is the integration and modification of the information. In this phase, isolated bits of information are integrated into the knowledge of the group as a whole, different from the information contributed by any one individual. Similar to a conversation, this phase is somewhat unpredictable as team members build on one another’s ideas. And fourth is the action that results from applying the knowledge. This action may cause the team to reevaluate what it knows if the application is different from what they thought it would be. These stages are not necessarily sequential but provide insight into how individual contributions contribute to team learning. Likewise, McCarthy and Garavan (2008) agree with Pawlowsky (2001) saying that even when an individual learns on a team, that learning must be transferred to the group. This transfer or diffusion is an essential aspect of team learning. This is the step where learning as a team begins.
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