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ABSTRACT

Mobile and smart devices provide a platform for firms/brands to communicate directly with past, present, or potential consumers (via online pop-ups, sponsored ads, ads on social media messengers, timelines, walls, etc.). Existing research on human-mobile interaction and end-user mobile management only highlights the positive fronts of repetitive exposures to mobile ads, ignoring the negative. The present study examines the effects of mobile ad wearout on irritation, intrusiveness, engagement, and loyalty via social media outlets. Survey data were solicited from consumers in Jordan, and the partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) technique was applied. Results show that mobile ad wearout is a strong determinant for increased consumer irritation and perceived intrusiveness. Intrusiveness resulted in lower levels of consumer engagement and higher levels of consumer loyalty. Irritation resulted in lower levels of consumer engagement; no changes were observed in consumer loyalty. This study shows managers how mobile ad wearout causes irritation and intrusiveness, which diminishes consumer engagement and loyalty. In essence, managers can gain insights on the positive and negative outcomes of mobile ad wearout. Implications for theory and practice are discussed.
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INTRODUCTION

Mobile and smart devices are changing the way customers and businesses interact with each other, such as through personalized experiences and advertisements (Arya, Bhadoria, & Chaudhari, 2018; Bhadoria, Chaudhari, Tomar, & Singh, 2017). eMarketer (2015) showed that about 88% of U.S. firms are using at least one social networking site (Twitter, Facebook, Instagram, Pinterest, Snapchat, etc.) for marketing purposes. Internetworldstats (2020) reported that this trend is expected to spread to other countries with a high literacy and Internet-penetration rate, such as Jordan (85.3%). According to a Statista (2019) report, there has been a dramatic increase in the number of social media users,
from 0.97 billion in 2010 to 2.82 billion in 2019, worldwide. Facets of mobile technology that have been discussed and debated in the literature include ubiquity, deep learning, privacy issues, and personalized advertisements (Gupta, Agrawal, & Yamaguchi, 2019; Gupta, Yamaguchi, & Agrawal, 2018; Jararweh et al., 2017). Personalized marketing and advertisements via mobile and social media outlets are expected to grow (Tucker, 2014).

Research showed that marketers and advertisers in the United States will spend around $43.9 billion this year on mobile ads (Benes, 2019). Biometric computing and recognition have become prevalent in personalized marketing (Arya & Bhadoria, 2019), which is why mobile devices are highly individualized and are important personal communication tools (Bacile, Ye, & Swilley, 2014). Shawky (2019) argued that less accessible consumer segments can be reached through social media and mobile platforms ads. Existing literature showed that personalized mobile ads are antecedents of high brand loyalty, brand attachment, brand engagement, positive attitude, perceived quality, and intention to forward the ads (e.g., Di Gangi & Wasko, 2016; Grewal et al., 2016; Shanahan, Tran, & Taylor, 2019; Tucker, 2014; Walrave et al., 2019).

In this study, Mobile Ad Wearout represents excessive exposure to the same marketing and advertising campaigns. Mobile and pop-up ads do not only affect the human–mobile interaction experience, but also install negative feelings and, as such, are generally unwanted marketing and advertising channels. Past scholars focused mostly on the positive outcomes of mobile ads (Tucker, 2014; Walrave et al., 2019), which misled marketing managers to think that repetition and high exposure to personalized mobile ads is a way to attract and retain consumers. However, mobile ads can be disturbing due to the nature of their execution (e.g., too loud or too long) or placement (e.g., too many or too frequent), and their execution process may cause unfavorable spillover effects on marketing and advertising efforts (Brechman et al., 2016). Extant theoretical discussions illustrated that Mobile Ad Wearout can cause privacy concerns, for example, intrusiveness and irritation among consumers.

Consequently, the human–device interaction experience can be a barrier for engagement between scientific end-user developers (Segal, & Morris, 2011) and can affect usage behavior among social media users (Amos, Zhang, & Pentina, 2014; Di Gangi & Wasko, 2016). In this study, the human–device interaction experience is users’ experiences with Mobile Ad Wearout. Surprisingly, studies that investigated Ad Wearout were mostly in an offline context and in Western nations (Naik et al., 1998; Pechmann & Stewart, 1990; Peggy, 1999; Royo-Vela & Meyer, 2016). To the best of the author(s) knowledge, there are no studies on Mobile Ad Wearout in the Arabian context. The aim of this paper is to examine the impact of Mobile Ad Wearout on perceived consumer intrusiveness and irritation, and the impact of perceived consumer intrusiveness and irritation on consumer loyalty and engagement. A partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) technique is deployed to examine these relationships. The PLS-SEM technique showcases the variance explained in the outcome variable by the predictor variable (Hair et al., 2017), and its “causal-predictive” power ensures a balance between theoretical construct explanation, causes, and prediction (Abubakar, & Al-zyoud, 2020; Shmueli et al., 2019).

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the literature on the concepts under investigation and conclude with hypotheses development. In Section 3, we present the research instruments, data collection, and sampling approach. Section 4 presents the research data analyses techniques and results, and Section 5 presents a discussion of the findings, implications, research limitations, and future recommendations. Section 6 presents the concluding comments.

THEORETICAL BACKGROUND AND HYPOTHESES

Mobile Ad Wear-in is a process by which consumers become familiar with the marketing and ad messages. According to Chen, Yang, and Smith (2016), “initial exposures to new ads and marketing messages create a positive feeling by reducing perceived uncertainty and serve as a medium for
learning about brand and/or brand awareness” (p. 336). Mobile Ad Wearout occurs when consumers are repeatedly exposed to the same ads and marketing message (Pechmann & Stewart, 1990; Peggy, 1999). Offline Wearout has a negative effect on consumers’ attitudes towards advertising and/or marketing messages of a brand or firm (Naik et al., 1998; Royo-Vela & Meyer, 2016). Among other perceptions, perceived privacy invasion can change the effect of marketing campaigns from positive to negative attitudes toward the firm or brand (Tsai et al., 2011; Tucker, 2014) and can cause irritation towards the campaigner (Lin & Chen, 2015).

Consumer irritation towards ads and marketing messages is defined as being more negative than dislike; it is “provoking, causing displeasure and momentary impatience” (Aaker & Bruzzone 1985, p. 48). Ad content can cause irritation, such as from repetitive content, execution, or placement, as with annoying pop-ups at private times or while chatting (Brechman et al. 2016; Duncan & Nelson, 1985; Royo-Vela & Meyer, 2016). Perceived consumer intrusiveness is the degree to which advertisements in a media vehicle interrupt the flow and goals of the viewer or consumer (Hir, 1996). Intrusiveness as a psychological consequence occurs when consumers’ cognitive processes are interrupted, such as blocking or interrupting access to content. Intrusiveness and irritation are viewed as a major cause of annoyance and ad avoidance (Tsai et al., 2011; Tucker, 2014). On the other hand, brands and firms are interested in pursuing consumer loyalty and engagement because of their links to secure profitability and long-term sustainability (Shankar & Jebarakirthy, 2019).

Repetition allows consumers to process and digest advertisement and marketing messages easily. According to Alwitt and Mitchell (1985), a high number of message repetitions increases the level of persuasion and enhances the tendency to recall an advertisement’s message; however, over time, repetition may result in wearout because high exposure frequencies induce expressions of displeasure and annoyance (Cacioppo & Petty, 1980; Rau et al., 2014). Rau, Zhou, Chen, and Lu (2014) added that repetition can arouse a feeling of tedium or psychological reactance that ultimately proves detrimental to persuasion. Incessant repetition affects consumers’ perceptions of the firm’s effort and credibility (Kirmani, 1997). In the context of this research, Mobile Ad Wearout denotes the high frequency at which individuals are exposed to a mobile advertisement in a day.

In human–mobile interaction experiences, the effect of repetition in mobile advertisements and marketing is initially positive, because consumers develop positive attitudes as familiarity increases. However, when familiarity reaches its saturation level, additional exposures to the same messages may result in boredom and negative thoughts that outweigh positive ones. Wearout can reduce ad effectiveness and brand preference (Goldstein et al., 2014). Research showed that more ad repetition can hurt a brand, because consumers who encounter the same ads repeatedly can become weary and turned off (Kronrod & Huber, 2019). Schmidt and Eisend’s (2015) meta-analysis showed that the effect of traditional advertising repetition on consumer attitude can be both positive outcomes (e.g., habituation, positive thoughts like loyalty and recommendations) and negative outcomes (e.g., anger, boredom, etc.). This has received additional support from past work (Brechman et al., 2016; Tsai et al., 2011; Tucker, 2014). These relationships have received little attention in the context of mobile ads. In particular, how firms conduct their marketing and advertising campaigns, causing Mobile Ad Wearout, leads to unwanted behavioral responses. Based on these arguments, the following hypotheses are proposed:

H1: Mobile Ad Wearout will influence irritation among consumers.
H2: Mobile Ad Wearout will influence perceived intrusiveness among consumers.

Consumer engagement is a mental state of being contained to, engrossed with, and devoting attention to a firm or brand (Triantafillidou & Siomkos, 2018). According to Hollebeek et al. (2014), consumer engagement is a psychological state that “evolves through cognitive, affective and behavioral processes spanning from learning (gaining knowledge around brands), sharing (information and experiences), advocating (recommending brands), socializing (developing attitudes toward the
community), and co-developing products” (p. 155). Consumer loyalty is the tendency of a buyer to patronize a brand, product, or firm revealed through behavioral and affective actions that can be quantified (i.e., increased commitment, continuance, and repurchase), as noted by Keiningham, Aksoy, and Williams (2009). In the context of mobile platforms, consumer loyalty reflects the tendency of users to regularly visit a brand’s or firm’s website and purchase and share positive, online word of mouth (WOM), as noted by Kaya et al. (2019).

Irritation evokes retaliatory behaviors toward the brand perceived to be responsible for the negative event and emotion. Negative emotions, such as irritation, anger, dislike, and discontent can predict consumer behavior (Romani et al., 2012; Sung & Yih, 2019). Dickinger et al. (2004) advised marketers to consider the frequency of ad messages sent to consumers, and Royo-Vela and Luna (2012) argued that a high rate of ad messages can lead to negative attitudes towards the brand or firm. These attitudes and behaviors are likely to encourage negative consumer behaviors such as complaining, boycotting, spreading negative WOM information, persuading other customers from purchasing the brand, and so forth (Abubakar et al., 2017; Antonetti, 2016; Riaz & Khan, 2016; Romani et al., 2012; Sung & Yih, 2019). Mobile Ad Wearout resulted in lower click-through rates (the ratio of users who click on a specific link to the number of total users who view a page, email, or advertisement) among consumers (Försch & de Haan, 2018). Based on the extant theoretical claims, this study theorizes that irritation may deteriorate the level of consumer engagement and loyalty.

**H3:** Consumer perceived irritation will influence consumer engagement.

**H4:** Consumer perceived irritation will influence consumer loyalty.

In a consumption context, intrusion evokes unwanted behaviors among consumers. From human–mobile device interaction perspective, end-user mobile management, privacy and security, and performance of apps are important in relation to Mobile Ad Wearout, and repetitive ads can raise privacy concerns or the perception of intrusiveness (Grewal et al., 2016). These feelings are likely to encourage negative reactions towards the advertising and/or marketing messages (Tsai et al., 2011; Tucker, 2014). Research has shown that the intrusiveness of ads and marketing messages acts as an antecedent of negative attitudes, such as a reduction in click-through rates, toward a firm or brand (Aguirre et al., 2015; Schmidt & Eisend, 2015). As the level of perceived intrusiveness of ads and marketing messages increases, consumers are likely to ignore them and exhibit tedium reactions towards the firm or brand. Based on the extant theoretical claims, this study theorizes that perceived intrusiveness may deteriorate the level of consumer engagement and loyalty.

**H5:** Consumer perceived intrusiveness will influence consumer engagement.

**H6:** Consumer perceived intrusiveness will influence consumer loyalty.

**METHODS**

**Measures**

*Mobile Ad Wearout* was assessed with a three-item measure from Royo-Vela and Meyer (2016); the items were modified to fit the context and interest of the present research. Consumers were asked to rate the extent to which they agreed with statements such as “This brand repeatedly sends the same mobile ads through (e.g., Facebook, Instagram, Twitter etc.).”

*Consumer Irritation* was assessed with a two-item measure from Royo-Vela and Meyer (2016), which was adapted from Duncan and Nelson's (1985) studies. Consumers were asked to reflect upon the level of irritation caused by mobile ads, by responding to items such as “I consider mobile advertising messages as irritating.”
Perceived consumer intrusiveness was assessed with a two-item measure from Li, Edwards, and Lee (2002). Consumers were asked to rate the extent to which they agreed with statements such as “I perceive mobile advertisements as intrusive.”

Consumer engagement was assessed with an eight-item measure from Hollebeek et al. (2014). Consumers were asked to rate the extent to which they agreed with statements such as “I spend a lot of time using this online brand community compared to others.”

Consumer loyalty was assessed with a three-item measure from Keiningham et al. (2009), which was adapted from Noble, Griffith, and Adjei (2006) to reflect consumer loyalty by responding to items such as “As a customer, I am strongly loyal to this brand.” All the measures were operationalized on a five-point response format (1 = strongly disagree to 5 = strongly agree). See Appendix for survey items.

Sampling and Data Collection

The original survey items were translated from English to Arabic and back-translated by linguistic professionals. A pilot study was conducted with 15 participants to ensure that participants had no difficulties understanding the questions, and some rephrasing and rewording were conducted to adhere to the target’s cultural understandings. Jordan, officially the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan, is an Arabian country with a population of approximately 10 million. According to the United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), the literacy rate in the country is around 98.01%. The context of this study suits the Jordanian population well, given the level of literacy, the number of mobile connections (8.23 million), the number of Internet users (6.78 million), and the number of social media users (5.70 million) as of 2020 (Datareportal, 2020). Participants were Jordanian consumers (the face-to-face survey was carried out in Amman, Jordan) recruited through a simple random sampling technique to acquire a representative sample and to increase the reliability of the research outcomes (Jahmani et al., 2018).

Participation was voluntary, and respondents were assured full confidentiality to reduce common method bias (Podsakoff et al., 2012). In order to ensure that the surveys were completed by the correct sources, we used the filter question: Can you think of any brand/company that REPEATEDLY sends you mobile ads via social media outlet(s) (i.e., Facebook, Instagram, Twitter, LinkedIn, and others). Those who said “NO” were excluded from the study. Those who said “YES” were asked to name the brand/company and, in response to the ads messages they received, the respondents were asked to rate the study variables based on their perceptions and feelings. A total of 600 survey packets were printed, and 415 valid responses were retrieved, yielding a 69% response rate. Responses with missing values and incomplete information were eliminated; thus, 388 valid responses were used for analysis. Demographic variables considered in this study are gender, age, education, and frequency of checking mobile phones (times), including at work, walking, while using the toilet, and in-between sleep sessions. Results from a frequency analysis are illustrated in Table 1.

ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

Analytical Framework

Following Ringle, Wende, and Becker’s (2015) recommendation, a partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) technique was applied to test the proposed hypotheses (SmartPLS 3 software). A previous version of PLS-SEM software was initially developed for prediction purposes. Hair, Hult, Ringle, and Sarstedt (2017) stated that the capability of the software has been extended to enable theory testing, which makes it suitable for exploratory, confirmatory, and predictive analyses (Henseler, Hubona, & Ray, 2016). Table 2 presents the reliability and convergent validity of the measurement model. First, indicators with the standardized factor loadings above 0.50 were retained (see Figure 1, outer model) and were statistically significant above 1.960 (see Figure 2, outer model). Three indicators from the consumer engagement variable were eliminated due to low factor loading.
Table 1. Demographic breakdown

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic Variables</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>68.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>31.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 20</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>67.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 51</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level of education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some College</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor’s Degree</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>34.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher Degree</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>51.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Frequency of checking mobile phones (times)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-15 times</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 – 30 times</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>68.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 30 times</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(refer to the Appendix for eliminated items). Table 2 illustrates that the Cronbach alpha ($\alpha$), Dijkstra-Henseler’s reliability ($\rho_A$), and the composite reliability (CR) of the variables under investigation have values above the threshold of 0.70. These results collectively demonstrate adequate construct reliability and internal consistency.

Second, Table 2 illustrates that the variables under investigation have exhibited an average variance extracted (AVE) that is greater than the 0.50 threshold (Hair et al., 2017), suggesting the adequate convergent validity of each variable. The Bohnell–Larcker matrix and heterotrait–monotrait ratio of correlations (HTMT index) were deployed to examine the divergent validity (the parameter showing that each variable explains more of the variance of its indicators than the indicators of the other constructs) of the variables under investigation. Table 3 illustrates that none of the inter-correlations were above the square root of each variable AVE (in bold-diagonal); this satisfies the criterion for divergent validity (Bohnhell & Larcker, 1981; Yakubu et al., 2020). Table 4 illustrates that the HTMT indexes for all the variables were less than 0.90; this provides additional evidence for divergent validity (Henseler et al., 2016).

Table 2. Reliability and convergent validity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$\rho_A$</th>
<th>CR</th>
<th>AVE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Ad Wearout</td>
<td>.912</td>
<td>.917</td>
<td>.945</td>
<td>.851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer irritation</td>
<td>.870</td>
<td>.870</td>
<td>.939</td>
<td>.885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived consumer intrusiveness</td>
<td>.881</td>
<td>.885</td>
<td>.944</td>
<td>.893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer engagement</td>
<td>.755</td>
<td>.760</td>
<td>.835</td>
<td>.504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer loyalty</td>
<td>.728</td>
<td>.818</td>
<td>.834</td>
<td>.627</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: CR, composite reliability $\geq .70$. AVE, average variance extracted $\geq .50$. $\alpha$, Cronbach’s alpha $\geq .70$. $\rho_A \geq .70$. 
Concerning the effects of Mobile Ad Wearout, the findings from the PLS-SEM in Table 5 reflect a positive and significant impact of this variable on consumer irritation ($\beta = .379$, $\rho = .000$), perceived consumer intrusiveness ($\beta = .542$, $\rho = .000$), which corroborates hypotheses 1 and 2, respectively. Figure 1 shows that Mobile Ad Wearout explains 14% and 29% of the variance of consumer irritation and perceived consumer intrusiveness. In relation to the effects of consumer irritation, a negative and significant impact of this variable on consumer engagement was obtained ($\beta = -.163$, $\rho = .033$), and the impact on consumer loyalty ($\beta = .042$, $\rho = .638$) was insignificant. This confirms hypothesis 3 and disconfirms hypothesis 4.

In relation to the effects of perceived consumer intrusiveness, a negative and significant impact of perceived consumer intrusiveness on consumer engagement was obtained ($\beta = -.195$, $\rho = .004$), as well as a positive and significant impact on consumer loyalty ($\beta = .389$, $\rho = .000$) (see Table 5). This confirms hypothesis 5 and disconfirms hypothesis 6. Figure 1 shows that consumer irritation and perceived consumer intrusiveness, collectively, explain 10% of the variance of consumer engagement. Whereas, perceived consumer intrusiveness explains 17% of the variance of consumer loyalty.

**Supplementary Analysis**

This study did not dictate nor hypothesize the presence of mediatory effects. To discover the process through which consumers seek refuge from Mobile Ad Wearout, a test for mediation was carried out. Sobel’s test has been criticized for being overly conservative due to its flawed sampling distribution.
assumptions and biased estimation. Bootstrapping overcomes this problem by resampling the dataset through simulation, in other words, estimating the indirect effect in each resampled dataset using confidence intervals for the indirect effect. Thus, a more rigorous and powerful mediation test, “bootstrapping,” with a resample of n=5000, was deployed (Zhao, Lynch, & Chen, 2010).

To test for the indirect effects, the author(s) assessed the bias-corrected bootstrap confidence intervals. The indirect effect of Mobile Ad Wearout on consumer engagement through consumer engagement...
irritation was β = -.062, ρ = .037 and was statistically significant at the 95% confidence interval (-.120; -.002). The indirect effect of Mobile Ad Wearout on consumer loyalty through consumer irritation was β = .016, ρ = .650 and was statistically insignificant at the 95% confidence interval (-.053; .086). Based on these results, we conclude that consumer irritation fully mediates the link between Mobile Ad Wearout on consumer engagement and not with loyalty (see Table 6).

The indirect effect of Mobile Ad Wearout on consumer engagement through perceived consumer intrusiveness was β = -.106, ρ = .007 and was statistically significant at the 95% confidence interval (-.181; -.026). The indirect effect of Mobile Ad Wearout on consumer loyalty through perceived consumer intrusiveness was β = .211, ρ = .000 and was statistically significant at the 95% confidence interval (.127; .304). Based on these results, we conclude that perceived consumer intrusiveness fully mediates the link between Mobile Ad Wearout and consumer engagement, and link between Mobile Ad Wearout and consumer loyalty (see Table 6).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we examine how Mobile Ad Wearout experienced by consumers influences their irritation and perceived intrusiveness. We went further to examine how increased irritation and perceived intrusiveness may lower consumer engagement and loyalty. First, Mobile Ad Wearout influences consumer irritation and perceived consumer intrusiveness. It is worth noting that our findings are consistent with prior work (Brechman et al., 2016; Kronrod & Huber, 2019; Tsai et al., 2011; Tucker, 2014). The present findings validate those tested for offline Ad Wearout and in Western nations. This gives scope to a broader theoretical view of the consequences of Mobile Ad Wearout on consumer responses in the Arabian culture.

Second, we found that irritation reduces consumer engagement. This implies that events such as disappointment and irritation contribute negatively to engagement and involvement with a firm or brand. This makes sense, as consumers’ attachment to a brand drives engagement (Hinson et al., 2019), so a decline in attachment may result in lower levels of engagement. This outcome is consistent with prior work, which shows that negative emotions such as anger, discontent, dislike, and worry can result in unwanted behavioral responses such as switching, complaining, and negative WOM among consumers (e.g., Romani et al., 2012; Sung & Yih, 2019). We extend the literature to mobile ads domain by showing how irritation result in unwanted behavioral responses such as lower consumer engagement. Although existing literature has theorized a negative association between irritation and loyalty (e.g., Gloval et al., 2016; Schmidt & Eisend, 2015), it appears that irritation did not reduce consumer loyalty. Similar findings were echoed by Magin et al. (2003). Nordhielm (1996, p. 2) added that “people’s affective response to an overexposure of ads or marketing campaigns is either no longer positive or it shows a significant decline.” A plausible reason can be that irritation is at stake, or people are in an unchangeable mode. Nonetheless, further empirical research is needed, considering contextual variables such as switching barriers, frequency of ads, cyber culture, time spent with a mobile, service, and product quality.

Third, we found that perceived consumer intrusiveness reduces consumer engagement. This implies that consumers’ heightened privacy and intrusion concerns weaken their engagement with a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indirect effects</th>
<th>Coefficients</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Ad Wearout -&gt; Consumer Irritation -&gt; Consumer engagement</td>
<td>-.062</td>
<td>2.086</td>
<td>.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Ad Wearout -&gt; Consumer Irritation -&gt; Consumer loyalty</td>
<td>.016</td>
<td>0.453</td>
<td>.650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Ad Wearout -&gt; Perceived Consumer Intrusiveness-&gt; Consumer engagement</td>
<td>-.106</td>
<td>2.699</td>
<td>.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Ad Wearout -&gt; Perceived Consumer Intrusiveness-&gt; Consumer loyalty</td>
<td>.211</td>
<td>4.656</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
firm or brand. Prior scholars recorded a negative and significant association between similar variables such as click-rates and website-revisit intention (e.g., Aguirre et al., 2015; Grewal et al., 2016; Schmidt & Eisend, 2015) as well as perceived mobile app intrusion and usage behavior (Amos et al., 2014). This study has provided additional evidence, using consumer engagement as an outcome. In addition, we recorded a positive and significant relationship between perceived consumer intrusiveness and consumer loyalty. The present outcome delineates that as perceived consumer intrusiveness increases, consumer loyalty towards the firm or brand increases. This contradicts the initial prediction, as we expected consumer loyalty to decline. The conflicting result illustrates a need to further investigate the role of contextual variables such as information sensitivity of the consumers, number of alternative brands, switching barriers, frequency of ads, cyber culture, time spent with a mobile, and quality.

Implications for Theory and Practice

Several implications were drawn from the findings. First, the findings suggest that mobile marketing campaigns in Jordan should be done with tactics to make the ads and marketing information enjoyable and fresh in consumer minds; this way, irritation and intrusion perception can be avoided. Prior research shows that Mobile Ad Wearout is less likely to occur with lesser-known brands as additional exposures may still be informative (Försch & de Haan, 2018; Srinivasan & Hanssens, 2009). In this view, firms may categorize consumers based on the level of their engagement; thus, highly engaged consumers should have minimal exposure to mobile ads, while those with a low level of engagement can be exposed to additional ads to stimulate a taste for the company's product or services.

Second, marketers may adopt a strategy by which consumers are repeatedly exposed to mobile ads with limited interruption, with ample variations in execution to evade irritation and annoyance (Försch & de Haan, 2018). Further, advertising-variation strategies have been shown to be more effective in terms of recall and thus can be useful tools for marketers in that they can lead to synergistic effects (Gebreselassie & Bougie, 2019). Third, managers must balance marketing campaigns to leverage the benefits of mobile ads and evade their consequential effects. For instance, mobile ads that provide justification for their offers stand a chance of boosting consumer loyalty and engagement (Grewal et al., 2016). To achieve this, ads should not appear in a self-serving or manipulative manner, because ads’ presentations can influence marketing campaign efforts and privacy concerns. The use of a recommender system and online referrals (Alubakar et al., 2016) can help mitigate consumer backlash triggered by perceptions of invasive and/or targeted ads.

Another interesting business implication is the inclusion of fun, interactive, and entertaining content in mobile marketing and ads, as it is likely to reduce negative and induce positive reactions. Arabs in general share a similar culture and have greater tendency for homogeneity and group conformity (Hofstede, Hofstede, & Minkov, 2005). A study by Mahajan (2013) found that Arabian consumers share similar traits and often react to a specific marketing mix in similar ways and manners. Although a “one size fits all” strategy may not work for all Arabian consumers and countries, the findings in the Jordanian context provides important marketing-mix insights for managers in countries with similar demographic and cultural features. For example, marketing managers in countries such as Lebanon, Egypt, and Saudi Arabia can benefit from the unveiled insights in this study. In sum, our findings show that for marketing ads and campaigns that are sent within short time intervals, such campaigns should have a planned time lag after the initial exposure to minimize negative reactions. The use of the simple random sampling technique and a suitable sample selection, using filter questions, allowed the researchers to obtain responses from a representative sample. In addition, a set of stringent statistical tests to establish reliability and validity of the measures were conducted. In sum, the extant methodological and statistical approaches ensured the robustness of the method, and they also allowed the researchers to make concrete inferences based on the outcomes.
Limitations and Future Research Directions

This study has several limitations that are worth mentioning. First, we cannot ascertain causal order, because the data are cross-sectional. Second, the study uses a self-reported approach, which is vulnerable to common method bias. Third, single-source data, as respondents are all from a single country and culture, limits our ability to generalize the findings. Fourth, the present study did not consider important contextual variables. To wrap up, we recommend that future studies utilize advanced methods such as longitudinal and experimental design (Podsakoff et al., 2012; Zhao et al., 2010), fuzzy sets (Kaya et al., 2020), Bayesian networks (Wipulanusat et al., 2020), and predictive analytics and artificial intelligence techniques (Abubakar, 2018, 2019). How the findings generalize to other cultural contexts warrants further research; thus, researchers are encouraged to consider the following contextual variables: information sensitivity of the consumers, number of alternative brands, switching barriers, frequency of ads, cyber culture, time spent with a mobile, service, and product quality.

CONCLUSION

This study investigated the impact of Mobile Ad Wearout on Jordanian consumer irritation and perceived intrusiveness, and their impacts on consumer engagement and loyalty. Stringent tests and robust methodological approaches were carried out; results revealed that Mobile Ad Wearout is a strong determinant for increased irritation and perceived intrusiveness among consumers. Results show that as consumer irritation increases, the level of consumer engagement decreases, and no change was recorded for consumer loyalty. Further, as perceived intrusiveness increases, the level of consumer engagement decreases, and the level of consumer loyalty increases. Marketing managers should consider both the positive and negative fronts of mobile ads prior to inaugurating them into their marketing mix.
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## APPENDIX

### Table 7. Research Instruments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instruments</th>
<th>factor loadings</th>
<th>t-values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mobile Ad Warrant</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;This brand has the tendency to send mobile ads several times?&quot;</td>
<td>.908</td>
<td>82.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;This brand repeatedly sends the same mobile ads through i.e., Facebook, Instagram, Twitter etc.&quot;</td>
<td>.945</td>
<td>139.320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;I keep receiving the same mobile ads from this brand?&quot;</td>
<td>.914</td>
<td>81.419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consumer irritation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;I consider mobile advertising messages as annoying?&quot;</td>
<td>.941</td>
<td>108.813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;I consider mobile advertising messages as irritating?&quot;</td>
<td>.941</td>
<td>128.516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consumer perceived intrusiveness</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;I perceive mobile advertisements as disturbing?&quot;</td>
<td>.950</td>
<td>227.381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;I perceive mobile advertisements as intrusive?&quot;</td>
<td>.940</td>
<td>132.579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consumer engagement</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Using online brand community gets me to think about the brand?&quot;</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;I think about this online brand community a lot when I'm using it?&quot;</td>
<td>.675</td>
<td>9.765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;This online brand community stimulates my interest to learn more about products/services?&quot;</td>
<td>.663</td>
<td>9.136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;I feel very positive when I use this online brand community?&quot;</td>
<td>.783</td>
<td>14.505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Using this online brand community makes me happy?&quot;</td>
<td>.789</td>
<td>19.151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;I feel good when I use this online brand community?&quot;</td>
<td>.658</td>
<td>11.713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;I’m proud to use this online brand community?&quot;</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;I spend a lot of time using this online brand community compared to others?&quot;</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Customer loyalty</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;As a customer, I am strongly loyal to this brand?&quot;</td>
<td>.804</td>
<td>25.285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;In general, the brand I do business with show loyalty to me as a customer?&quot;</td>
<td>.710</td>
<td>14.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;I usually feel an attachment to the brand with which I do business?&quot;</td>
<td>.855</td>
<td>25.457</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: _* deleted items_