


# AI-Powered Tracking for Sustainable Marine Ecosystem Resource Management Projects: A Case of Oyster Detection With Machine Learning

Toby Chau, BASIS Independent Manhattan, USA

Helen Lv Zhang, Allen D. Nease High School, USA\*

Yuyue Gui, Washington University in St Louis, USA

Man Fai Lau, Swinburne University of Technology, Australia

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2271-6259>

## ABSTRACT

Ecosystems are our planet's life-support systems that facilitate sustainable development. Within the marine ecosystem, oysters serve as a keystone species. Numerous oyster restoration projects have been launched with a crucial element involving precise assessment of oyster population sizes within specific reef areas. However, the current methods of tracking oyster populations are approximate and lack precision. To address this research gap, the authors developed an AI-empowered project for oyster detection. Specifically, they created a dataset of wild oysters, utilized Roboflow for image annotation, and employed image augmentation techniques to augment the training data. Then, they fine-tuned a YOLOv8 computer vision object detection model using their dataset. The results demonstrated a mean average precision (mAP) of 85.2 percent and an accuracy of 87.7 percent for oyster detection. This approach improved upon previous attempts to detect wild oysters, offering a more effective solution for population assessment, which is a fundamental step toward sustainable oyster restoration project management.

## KEYWORDS

AI, Ecosystem, Machine Learning, Oyster, Sustainable Development

## INTRODUCTION

Sustainability and environmental awareness are of utmost importance in today's world (Alam & Islam, 2021; Severo et al., 2021). Climate change, habitat loss, pollution, and resource depletion are pressing issues that demand our attention. The health of our planet directly impacts our well-being, and we must strive to preserve and protect it for current and future generations. An increasing number of nations have been actively engaged in prioritizing sustainable development alongside economic growth (Cheng et

DOI: 10.4018/IJITPM.334716

\*Corresponding Author

This article published as an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and production in any medium, provided the author of the original work and original publication source are properly credited.

al., 2022; He et al., 2022). Safeguarding the environment through sustainability encompasses targeted actions including the responsible stewardship of natural resources (Li et al., 2022; Sun et al., 2022), waste reduction (Sharma et al., 2020), mitigation of air pollution (Lin & Li, 2022; Yu et al., 2022), the preservation of biodiversity (Mittermeier et al., 2021), and the advancement of renewable energy utilization (Bui & Tseng, 2022; Zhu et al., 2022). These efforts collectively alleviate the negative impact of human activities on the environment, fostering an environmental self-regeneration and ensuring a sustainable future (Nunes et al., 2021; Uniyal et al., 2021; Zhou et al., 2022).

Ecosystems are the life-support systems of our planet, and they assume a fundamental role in achieving environmental sustainability (Arora & Mishra, 2019; Maes et al., 2019; Adla et al., 2022). These sophisticated networks, comprised of living organisms, their habitats, and the physical environments that they interact with, provide essential services supporting life on Earth (Cordier et al., 2021; Geary et al., 2020). Ecosystems control our climate, cleanse our air and water, pollinate the crops, and recycle vital nutrients (Fahad et al., 2022; Saha & Bauddh, 2020). They also offer essential territories for myriad species, boosting biodiversity (Davison et al., 2021; Swan et al., 2021). Furthermore, ecosystems offer essential resources, including provisions, pharmaceutical composites, and raw materials that contribute to human welfare and economic activities (Arroyo-Rodríguez et al., 2020; Pinho et al., 2021). Therefore, we must acknowledge the profound correlation between human activities and ecosystems to ensure sustainability. Safeguarding and revitalizing these natural ecosystems is not just a moral obligation, but it is also a vital requirement for our survival and the well-being of our planet (Dixson-Declève et al., 2022; Shrivastava & Zsolnai, 2022).

Within the living ecosystem of New York Harbor, oysters serve as a keystone species (Taillie et al., 2020). These remarkable filter feeders have the capacity to purify up to 50 gallons of water daily, significantly enhancing the water quality in the harbor (Everhart & Naundorf, 2021; Malik et al., 2023). Over the past decades, numerous oyster restoration projects have been launched, and they have been continually maintained and have managed to function to this day (Goelz et al., 2020; Pogoda et al., 2019; Ridlon et al., 2021; Wasson et al., 2020). The primary goal of these restoration projects is to rejuvenate the oyster populations in New York Harbor through the extensive creation and monitoring of oyster reefs. A crucial element of these restoration endeavors involves the precise assessment of oyster population sizes within specific reef areas (Hogan & Reidenbach, 2019; McClenachan et al., 2020). However, the current method of tracking oyster populations relies on estimates, and the counting techniques employed by existing oyster restoration projects lack precision (Zhu, 2023).

One of the key challenges in accurately counting oysters in a particular oyster reef is the limited availability of oyster detection models trained on wild oysters (Chand & Bollard, 2021). Most existing research papers in this field have primarily focused on training oyster detection models using computer-simulated oysters (Lin et al., 2022) or oysters from controlled farm environments (Sadrfaridpour et al., 2021). While these studies have provided valuable insights into oyster detection methodologies, the applicability of their findings to wild oyster populations in the New York Harbor remains uncertain.

In light of these limitations, there is an urgent demand for the creation of oyster detection models that are finely tuned to address the unique characteristics of wild oyster populations in the New York Harbor. Developing these models through the utilization of authentic data obtained from oyster reefs within the harbor promises to enhance the precision and dependability of oyster population evaluations. These advancements in oyster detection technology will yield benefits not only for the Billion Oyster Project (BOP) and similar oyster restoration initiatives but will also substantially contribute to our broader comprehension and preservation of oyster populations within estuarine ecosystems. To address this research gap, this paper develops and evaluates a novel oyster detection model using data collected from wild oyster reefs in the New York Harbor. By leveraging AI-empowered computer vision techniques and machine learning algorithms, we seek to enhance the accuracy of oyster population assessments and provide a more reliable method for monitoring oyster restoration efforts.

The paper is organized as follows. The next section reviews prior literature on the interconnections between sustainability and ecosystems and summarizes relevant research on oyster detection. The

third section details the methodology by illustrating the dataset creation and model training processes. The fourth section presents the results of our experiments and discusses the practical applications of our findings. The last section concludes the paper by highlighting the contributions, limitations, and future research directions.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

A comprehensive understanding of sustainability and its relationship with ecosystems is crucial in contemporary environmental discourse (Chapin et al., 1996; Kay et al., 1999; Lu et al., 2015; Roche & Campagne, 2017). Sustainability, often defined as meeting the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs, is intrinsically linked to ecosystems (Bennett et al., 2015; Filho et al., 2020; Schröter et al., 2017). Ecosystems, as the intricate web of living organisms and their physical environments, play a fundamental role in supporting sustainable practices (Custódio et al., 2019; Vannevel & Goethals, 2020; Zucchella & Previtali, 2018).

One key aspect of this relationship is the ecosystem services concept (Grunewald & Bastian, 2015; Peterson et al., 2010), highlighting the countless benefits humans derive from ecosystems. Ecosystem services encompass provisioning services (e.g., food and freshwater) (Deng et al., 2013; Shackleton, 2021), regulating services (e.g., climate regulation and disease control) (Balasubramanian, 2019; Kim et al., 2021), supporting services (e.g., nutrient cycling) (Abson & Termansen, 2011; Wrede et al., 2018), and cultural services (including spiritual and recreational benefits) (Daniel et al., 2012; Plieninger et al., 2013). Recognizing the significance and interrelationships of these services is essential for sustainable resource management and policy development (Bennett et al., 2009).

Biodiversity within ecosystems is another vital component of sustainability (Laurila-Pant et al., 2015). Diverse ecosystems are more resilient to environmental changes (Fischer et al., 2006), providing a buffer against disturbances and enhancing their capacity to deliver ecosystem services (Mori et al., 2016; Thom & Seidl, 2015). Moreover, biodiversity is critical for developing sustainable agriculture, medicine, and biotechnology (Mannion, 1995; Reid, 1995; Sen & Samanta, 2015). However, human activities, including deforestation, pollution, and habitat destruction, have led to ecosystem degradation and loss of biodiversity, which can have disastrous consequences for sustainability, disrupting the delicate balance of ecosystem services and threatening human well-being (Adla et al., 2022). Therefore, it is paramount to safeguard and restore ecosystems to achieve sustainability goals (Hobbs & Harris, 2001). This understanding underscores the importance of conservation efforts, sustainable resource management practices, and the incorporation of ecological principles into policymaking and decision-making processes.

Oysters play a crucial role in the vitality of living ecosystems, especially in aquatic environments like estuaries and coastal waters (Coen et al., 1998). As filter feeders, oysters are highly efficient in cleansing the surrounding water. Oysters can filter water to remove particles and impurities while enhancing water quality, which helps maintain the ecosystem's health and supports the survival of various species of marine life (Shih & Chang, 2015). Moreover, oysters contribute to forming complex reef structures that provide essential habitats and shelter for numerous aquatic organisms (Goodin et al., 2018). Oysters also serve as a food source for many creatures, creating a significant link in the food web. Their role in water purification, habitat creation, and nutrient cycling makes them a keystone species and exemplifies their importance for the balance and well-being of coastal ecosystems (Ruesink et al., 2005). From an ecological perspective, protecting and restoring oyster populations is vital and crucial for ensuring the resilience and sustainability of our planet's coastal regions.

Oyster restoration projects play a vital role in revitalizing and preserving our delicate ecosystems (Grabowski & Peterson, 2007). As a keystone species, oysters contribute significantly to improving water quality and overall ecological balance. However, the success of these restoration initiatives is greatly hindered by the challenge of precisely counting oyster populations within our harbors (Hogan & Reidenbach, 2019; McClenachan et al., 2020). Accurate oyster population assessments are

essential for monitoring progress, evaluating restoration success, and ensuring the long-term health of marine environments. The current methods for counting oysters in harbors are primarily based on estimations rather than precise measurements (Chand & Bollard, 2021). Oyster populations in these estuarine ecosystems are monitored using simplified sampling techniques, often involving visual observation, which may be highly subjective and prone to errors (Lin et al., 2022; Sadrfaridpour et al., 2021). Typically, these methods lack the necessary level of precision required for accurate population assessment. Oyster restoration projects rely on these approximate counts, making it challenging to evaluate the true impact of these initiatives on oyster populations and their ecosystems. The inaccuracies in counting methods hinder the effective management of oyster populations and compromise the success and objectives of oyster restoration projects, ultimately impeding the conservation efforts vital for this keystone species. Addressing this challenge is a fundamental step towards sustainable oyster restoration efforts and safeguarding the future of our harbors, which is the main focus of this study.

## METHODOLOGY

By understanding the distribution and health of oyster populations, we can better manage and protect these essential aquatic ecosystems. Therefore, the collected data's transformation into a usable format was a critical step in our research. A key issue was the collection of data relevant to oyster populations in their natural habitats. To overcome this, we used a FIFISH V6 Underwater Drone (Fifishv6, 2023), a remotely operated vehicle (ROV) capable of capturing high-resolution underwater videos.

### Dataset

To build the dataset, we deployed the ROV in different oyster reefs within the New York Harbor. The ROV captured video data of the oyster reefs, ensuring a diverse representation of oyster populations in their natural habitats. By systematically navigating the ROV in a grid-like pattern, we covered a wide range of oyster habitats and recorded videos for subsequent analysis. This video data served as the foundation for the subsequent steps in the research.

The next challenge was constructing a sufficiently large enough and diverse dataset to train a machine learning model. Our dataset relied on the data collected through our fieldwork but was also supplemented with additional data from Professor Miao Yu from the University of Maryland (Yu, 2023) and Dr. Anna Weiss from the Billion Oyster Project (Weiss, 2023). The result was a robust, representative dataset.

### Model

Similar to the approach adopted by Lin et al. (2022) and Sadrfaridpour et al. (2021), we used a supervised learning approach to train our model. To annotate the oysters in the video frames, Roboflow was utilized (Roboflow, 2023). Roboflow provides efficient tools for object detection annotation, enabling accurate labeling of oysters in each video frame (Roboflow, 2023). This annotation process ensured that subsequent training of the object detection model would be based on accurately labeled data, improving the model's ability to detect oysters in real-world scenarios.

To prepare our dataset to be trained on the model, we employed image augmentation. By applying various transformations to the annotated frames, we increased the diversity and variability of the dataset. The augmentation techniques used included horizontal and vertical flips, 90° rotations in different directions (clockwise, counter-clockwise, and upside down), rotations within a range of -22° to +22°, horizontal and vertical shearing with a range of  $\pm 26^\circ$  and  $\pm 28^\circ$  respectively, brightness adjustment within a range of -25% to +25%, blur of up to 1.5 pixels, and the addition of noise up to 10% of the pixels. These augmentation techniques aimed to simulate different lighting conditions, angles, and disturbances typically encountered in underwater environments. These were performed to enhance our model's robustness and generalization capabilities (Zhang et al., 2023).

The final dataset consisted of 2000 annotated images, with 600 supplemented by outside sources, as mentioned earlier, each containing oyster instances labeled with bounding boxes. This dataset served as the foundation for training and evaluating the oyster detection model. To ensure an unbiased evaluation, the dataset was randomly split into train, validation, and test sets, following an 80:10:10 ratio. This split allowed for training the model on a large portion of the data while also providing independent sets for validation and testing.

### Training With Pre-Trained Models

In training the oyster detection model, there are many viable computer vision object detection algorithms to train off of, such as YOLOv5 (Jocher, 2020) and YOLOX (Ge et al., 2022). However, we utilized the YOLOv8 (Jocher et al., 2023) computer vision object detection model, as it aligns with the latest advancements in the field. This algorithm has proven effective in detecting objects in complex scenes in real time and has been widely adopted in the field of computer vision (Terven & Cordova-Esparza, 2023). We used Google Colab, a cloud-based Jupyter Notebook environment (Google Colab, 2023), to finely tune a YOLOv8 model on our annotated oyster dataset, which granted us the computational resources required for training the model efficiently.

The training was performed using the annotated images from the training set, with the model being iteratively updated over 40 epochs. We then uploaded our model back to Roboflow to assess its performance with our validation and test sets using mAP and accuracy values (Roboflow, 2023). To ensure the robustness and reliability of the results, we conducted the evaluation by averaging the performance metrics over 10 independent runs of the oyster detection model. This approach helps mitigate any potential bias or variability introduced during the training and evaluation processes, providing a more comprehensive and unbiased assessment of the model’s performance.

## RESULTS

The developed oyster detection model was evaluated using various performance metrics to assess its accuracy and precision in detecting oysters within the New York Harbor. The results of the evaluation demonstrated promising capabilities for accurately identifying and localizing oysters in underwater video data.

Table 1 shows the mAP and accuracy values of the oyster detection model in the 10 individual runs. The mAP was found to be in the range of 84.4% to 85.9%, with an average of 85.2% and a standard

Table 1. mAP and accuracy values over ten runs

Run #	mAP	Accuracy
1	84.4%	91.8%
2	84.9%	89.5%
3	85.2%	87.6%
4	85.6%	85.9%
5	85.9%	84.5%
6	85.4%	86.7%
7	85.0%	88.2%
8	85.8%	85.1%
9	85.4%	87.0%
10	84.7%	90.3%
Average	85.2%	87.7%
Std. Dev.	0.5%	2.3%

deviation of 0.5%, indicating that the model correctly identified oyster instances in the video frames in most of the cases with very small variations. This mAP significantly improves the approximate counting methods commonly used in oyster population assessments (Zhu, 2023).

Moreover, the accuracy of the model was found to be in the range between 84.5% and 91.8%, with an average of 87.7% and a standard deviation of 2.3%. This also indicates that the model has the potential to greatly enhance the accuracy of oyster population detection.

It is important to note that these results represent a significant step forward in oyster detection technology, but further refinements and optimizations may be required to achieve even higher mAP and accuracy. Future research could focus on exploring additional image augmentation, fine-tuning model parameters, or incorporating more advanced object detection architectures to further improve the performance of the oyster detection model.

Upon completing the oyster detection model development and evaluation, a range of pivotal subjects come to the forefront, justifying comprehensive exploration. These encompass potential applications of the model and prospects for enhancement. One of the primary applications of the developed oyster detection model is real-time oyster counting and detection. The model's ability to accurately identify and localize oysters in underwater video data opens up possibilities for real-time monitoring of oyster populations in the New York Harbor. This capability can provide valuable insights for oyster restoration programs, enabling more efficient and targeted management strategies. Furthermore, real-time oyster detection can support environmental monitoring efforts by assessing the health and status of oyster populations in response to changing environmental conditions and aid in the design of more effective oyster restoration programs.

In addition to real-time oyster counting, the oyster detection model may have other potential applications. For instance, it can be used to analyze oyster behavior and interactions within the reef, providing insights into their ecological role and social dynamics. Furthermore, the model's ability to detect and localize other marine organisms or objects of interest in the video data opens up opportunities for broader ecological monitoring and research beyond oyster populations alone. This versatility enhances the value and applicability of the developed model in the context of broader marine ecosystem studies.

## CONCLUSION

Sustainability and environmental awareness are of utmost importance worldwide. Ecosystems are our planet's life-support systems that facilitate sustainable development. Oysters play a crucial role in marine ecosystems, and their population assessment is integral to the success of restoration efforts. Numerous oyster restoration projects have been launched with a crucial element involving precise assessment of oyster population sizes within specific reef areas. However, the current methods of tracking oyster populations are approximate and lack precision. Our research aims to enhance these monitoring processes. We have demonstrated the use of an underwater remotely operated vehicle (ROV), the FIFISH V6, equipped with a high-resolution camera for capturing footage of the wild oyster reefs in the New York Harbor. Additionally, we curated and annotated a dataset composed of images extracted from this underwater footage, along with contributions from external sources.

To leverage this dataset, we employed the advanced YOLOv8 computer vision object detection model, utilizing Roboflow for training and evaluation. Our trained model can detect and count wild oysters with high mAP and accuracy – achieving an average mAP (mean Average Precision) of 85.2% and accuracy of 87.7% over 10 runs. Our model outperforms previous attempts and provides a more accurate tool for real-time oyster counting in the wild. The successful application of this model is the first step toward a comprehensive, efficient tool for ecosystem monitoring and oyster restoration projects.

While the developed oyster detection model has demonstrated promising results, there is room for improvement. One area of improvement lies in expanding and diversifying the dataset. The current model was trained on a dataset comprising 2,000 annotated images. However, the dataset size

was limited due to the constraints imposed by the Roboflow platform, which restricts the number of images in a dataset. In most cases, increasing the dataset size by collecting and annotating more images from a wider range of oyster reefs would enhance the model's generalization capabilities and potentially improve its performance. Additionally, the model's performance may vary when applied to oyster populations in different geographical locations or with distinct ecological characteristics, underscoring the need for further evaluation and validation across diverse habitats.

For future work, expanding the dataset used for training the model can lead to more comprehensive and accurate results. Collecting additional video data from a wider range of oyster reefs in the New York Harbor, as well as other estuarine ecosystems, can improve the model's generalization capabilities and increase its applicability to diverse environments. Additionally, future work should focus on refining the model, addressing the current limitations, and exploring new data collection and analysis techniques with emerging technologies, such as big data analytics (Bag et al., 2022; Xie et al., 2022; Xing et al., 2022), blockchain (Buthelezi et al., 2022; Harshvardhan & Teoh, 2022; Qiu, 2022), edge computing (Liang et al., 2022; Huang et al., 2021), the Internet of Things (Almomani et al., 2021; Peng et al., 2021), and deep learning (Wu et al., 2022; Zhang & Song, 2022; Zhao, 2022). By continuously improving and expanding upon this research, we can contribute to the advancement of oyster restoration efforts, enhance ecological management practices, and further our understanding of oyster populations and their vital role in estuarine ecosystems.

## **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

Authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

## **FUNDING STATEMENT**

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

## REFERENCES

- Abson, D. J., & Termansen, M. (2011). Valuing ecosystem services in terms of ecological risks and returns. *Conservation Biology*, 25(2), 250–258. doi:10.1111/j.1523-1739.2010.01623.x PMID:21175826
- Alam, S. S., & Islam, K. Z. (2021). Examining the role of environmental corporate social responsibility in building green corporate image and green competitive advantage. *International Journal of Corporate Social Responsibility*, 6(1), 8. doi:10.1186/s40991-021-00062-w
- Almomani, A., Al-Nawasrah, A., Alomoush, W., Al-Abweh, M., Alrosan, A., & Gupta, B. B. (2021). Information management and IoT technology for safety and security of smart home and farm systems. *Journal of Global Information Management*, 29(6), 1–23. doi:10.4018/JGIM.20211101.0a21
- Arora, N. K., & Mishra, I. (2019). United Nations Sustainable Development Goals 2030 and environmental sustainability: Race against time. *Environmental Sustainability*, 2(4), 339–342. doi:10.1007/s42398-019-00092-y
- Arroyo-Rodríguez, V., Fahrig, L., Tabarelli, M., Watling, J. I., Tischendorf, L., Benchimol, M., Cazetta, E., Faria, D., Leal, I. R., Melo, F. P. L., Morante-Filho, J. C., Santos, B. A., Arasa-Gisbert, R., Arce-Peña, N. P., Cervantes-López, M. J., Cudney-Valenzuela, S. J., Galán-Acedo, C., San-José, M., Vieira, I. C. G., & Tschardt, T. (2020). Designing optimal human-modified landscapes for forest biodiversity conservation. *Ecology Letters*, 23(9), 1404–1420. doi:10.1111/ele.13535 PMID:32537896
- Balasubramanian, M. (2019). Economic value of regulating ecosystem services: A comprehensive at the global level review. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 191(10), 616. doi:10.1007/s10661-019-7758-8 PMID:31493130
- Bennett, E. M., Cramer, W., Begossi, A., Cundill, G., Díaz, S., Egoh, B. N., Geijzendorffer, I. R., Krug, C. B., Lavorel, S., Lazos, E., Lebel, L., Martín-López, B., Meyfroidt, P., Mooney, H. A., Nel, J., Pascual, U., Payet, K., Harguindeguy, N. P., Peterson, G. D., & Woodward, G. (2015). Linking biodiversity, ecosystem services, and human well-being: Three challenges for designing research for sustainability. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 14, 76–85. doi:10.1016/j.cosust.2015.03.007
- Bennett, E. M., Peterson, G. D., & Gordon, L. J. (2009). Understanding relationships among multiple ecosystem services. *Ecology Letters*, 12(12), 1394–1404. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01387.x PMID:19845725
- Bui, T. D., & Tseng, M. (2022). A Data-Driven Analysis on Sustainable Energy Security: Challenges and Opportunities in World Regions. [JGIM]. *Journal of Global Information Management*, 30(6), 1–38. doi:10.4018/JGIM.287608
- Buthelezi, B. E., Ndayizigamiye, P., Twinomurinzi, H., & Dube, S. M. (2022). A systematic review of the adoption of blockchain for supplychain processes. [JGIM]. *Journal of Global Information Management*, 30(8), 1–32. doi:10.4018/JGIM.297625
- Chand, S., & Bollard, B. (2021). Multispectral low altitude remote sensing of wild oyster reefs. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, 30, e01810. doi:10.1016/j.gecco.2021.e01810
- Chapin, F. S. III, Torn, M. S., & Tateno, M. (1996). Principles of ecosystem sustainability. *American Naturalist*, 148(6), 1016–1037. doi:10.1086/285969
- Cheng, W., Wang, Q., Ouyang, X., Xie, Y., Gao, Y., & Yu, A. (2022). Effect of economic and technological development zones on green innovation: Learning by importing perspective. [JGIM]. *Journal of Global Information Management*, 30(6), 1–18. doi:10.4018/JGIM.315748
- Coen, L. D., Luckenbach, M. W., & Breitburg, D. L. (1998). The role of oyster reefs as essential fish habitat: A review of current knowledge and some new perspectives. *American Fisheries Society Symposium*, 22, 438–454.
- Cordier, T., Alonso-Sáez, L., Apothéoz-Perret-Gentil, L., Aylagas, E., Bohan, D. A., Bouchez, A., Chariton, A., Creer, S., Frühe, L., Keck, F., Keeley, N., Laroche, O., Leese, F., Pochon, X., Stoeck, T., Pawlowski, J., & Lanzén, A. (2021). Ecosystems monitoring powered by environmental genomics: A review of current strategies with an implementation roadmap. *Molecular Ecology*, 30(13), 2937–2958. doi:10.1111/mec.15472 PMID:32416615
- Custódio, M., Villasante, S., Calado, R., & Lillebø, A. I. (2019). Valuation of Ecosystem Services to promote sustainable aquaculture practices. *Reviews in Aquaculture*, 12(1), 392–405. doi:10.1111/raq.12324



- Daniel, T. C., Muhar, A., Arnberger, A., Aznar, O., Boyd, J. W., Chan, K. M., Costanza, R., Elmqvist, T., Flint, C. G., Gobster, P. H., Grêt-Regamey, A., Lave, R., Muhar, S., Penker, M., Ribe, R. G., Schauppenlehner, T., Sikor, T., Soloviy, I., Spierenburg, M., & Von Der Dunk, A. (2012). Contributions of cultural services to the ecosystem services agenda. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 109(23), 8812–8819. doi:10.1073/pnas.1114773109 PMID:22615401
- Davison, C. W., Rahbek, C., & Morueta-Holme, N. (2021). Land-use change and biodiversity: Challenges for assembling evidence on the greatest threat to nature. *Global Change Biology*, 27(21), 5414–5429. doi:10.1111/gcb.15846 PMID:34392585
- Deng, X., Li, Z., Huang, J., Shi, Q., & Li, Y. (2013). A revisit to the impacts of land use changes on the human well-being via altering the ecosystem provisioning services. *Advances in Meteorology*, 2013, 1–8. doi:10.1155/2013/907367
- Dixon-Declève, S., Gaffney, O., Ghosh, J., Randers, J., Rockstrom, J., & Stoknes, P. E. (2022). *Earth for All: A Survival Guide for Humanity*. New Society Publishers.
- Everhart, S., & Naundorf, D. (2021). The oyster vs. the view legal attempts to hinder Maryland's shellfish aquaculture industry. *Natural Resources and Environment*, 35(4), 19–22.
- Fahad, S., Adnan, M., Saud, S., & Nie, L. (2022). Climate change and ecosystems: Challenges to sustainable development. *Footprints of Climate Variability on Plant Diversity*.
- Fifishv6. (2023). QYSea. <https://www.qysea.com/products/fifish-v6/>
- Filho, W. L., Barbir, J., Sima, M., Kalbus, A., Nagy, G. J., Paletta, A., Villamizar, A., Martinez, R., Azeiteiro, U. M., Pereira, M. J., Mussetta, P., Ivars, J. D., De Andrade Guerra, J. B. S. O., Da Silva Neiva, S., Moncada, S., Galdies, C., Kļaviņš, M., Nikolova, M., Gogu, R. C., & Bonoli, A. (2020). Reviewing the role of ecosystems services in the sustainability of the urban environment: A multi-country analysis. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 262, 121338. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121338
- Fischer, J., Lindenmayer, D. B., & Manning, A. D. (2006). Biodiversity, ecosystem function, and resilience: Ten guiding principles for commodity production landscapes. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 4(2), 80–86. doi:10.1890/1540-9295(2006)004[0080:BEFART]2.0.CO;2
- Ge, Z., Liu, S., Wang, F., Li, Z., & Sun, J. (2022). *YOLOX*, April, 2022. Github. <https://github.com/Megvii-BaseDetection/YOLOX>
- Goelz, T., Vogt, B., & Hartley, T. (2020). Alternative substrates used for oyster reef restoration: A review. *Journal of Shellfish Research*, 39(1), 1–12. doi:10.2983/035.039.0101
- Goodin, K. L., Faber-Langendoen, D., Brenner, J., Allen, S. T., Day, R. H., Congdon, V. M., Shepard, C., Cummings, K. E., Stagg, C. L., Gabler, C. A., Dunton, K. H., Ames, K. W., & Love, M. (2018). Ecological resilience indicators for five northern Gulf of Mexico ecosystems. *NatureServe*, 209-248. [https://www.natureserve.org/sites/default/files/ecological\\_resilience\\_indicators\\_for\\_five\\_northern\\_gulf\\_of\\_mexico\\_ecosystems.pdf](https://www.natureserve.org/sites/default/files/ecological_resilience_indicators_for_five_northern_gulf_of_mexico_ecosystems.pdf)
- Grabowski, J. H., & Peterson, C. H. (2007). Restoring oyster reefs to recover ecosystem services. *Theoretical Ecology Series*, 4, 281–298. doi:10.1016/S1875-306X(07)80017-7
- Grunewald, K., & Bastian, O. (2015). *Ecosystem services—concept, methods and case studies*. Springer eBooks. 10.1007/978-3-662-44143-5
- Harshvardhan, & Teoh, S. Y. (2022). Improving shipping efficiency Industry-Led consortium blockchain smart contact. *Journal of Global Information Management*, 30(1), 1-32. 10.4018/JGIM.313035
- He, R., Baležentis, T., Štreimikienė, D., & Shen, Z. (2022). Sustainable green growth in developing economies: An empirical analysis on the belt and road countries. [JGIM]. *Journal of Global Information Management*, 30(6), 1–15. doi:10.4018/JGIM.20221101.oa1
- Hobbs, R. J., & Harris, J. A. (2001). Restoration ecology: Repairing the Earth's ecosystems in the new millennium. *Restoration Ecology*, 9(2), 239–246. doi:10.1046/j.1526-100x.2001.009002239.x
- Hogan, S., & Reidenbach, M. A. (2019). Quantifying and mapping intertidal oyster reefs utilizing LiDAR-based remote sensing. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 630, 83–99. doi:10.3354/meps13118

- Huang, C., Chou, T., & Wu, S. (2021). Towards convergence of AI and IoT for smart policing: A case of a mobile edge Computing-Based Context-Aware system. *Journal of Global Information Management*, 29(6), 1–21. doi:10.4018/JGIM.20211101.0a2
- Joher, G. (2020). *YOLOv5 by Ultralytics (Version 7.0)*, June, 2020. Github. <https://github.com/ultralytics/yolov5>
- Joher, G., Chaurasia, A., & Qiu, J. (2023). *YOLO by Ultralytics (Version 8.0.0)*, March, 2023. Github. <https://github.com/ultralytics/ultralytics>
- Kay, J. J., Regier, H. A., Boyle, M., & Francis, G. (1999). An ecosystem approach for sustainability: Addressing the challenge of complexity. *Futures*, 31(7), 721–742. [https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Klaus-Krumme-2/post/Designing-an-Ecosystem-Approach-of-Production-Supply-and-Consumption/attachment/5cc0bb45cf4a7df4aeb523d/AS%3A751204946677762%401556112624346/download/Kay\\_et\\_al\\_1999.pdf](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Klaus-Krumme-2/post/Designing-an-Ecosystem-Approach-of-Production-Supply-and-Consumption/attachment/5cc0bb45cf4a7df4aeb523d/AS%3A751204946677762%401556112624346/download/Kay_et_al_1999.pdf). doi:10.1016/S0016-3287(99)00029-4
- Kim, H., Oh, K., & Lee, D. (2021). The contribution of ecosystem regulating services based on their interrelationship in the urban ecosystem. *Applied Sciences (Basel, Switzerland)*, 11(20), 9610. doi:10.3390/app11209610
- Laurila-Pant, M., Lehtikoinen, A., Uusitalo, L., & Venesjärvi, R. (2015). How to value biodiversity in environmental management? *Ecological Indicators*, 55, 1–11. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.02.034
- Li, L., Ma, S., Wang, R., Wang, Y., & Zheng, Y. (2022). Citizen participation in the Co-Production of urban natural resource assets: Analysis based on social media big data. *Journal of Global Information Management*, 30(6), 1–21. doi:10.4018/JGIM.291514
- Liang, X., Ruan, W., Xu, Z., & Liu, J. (2022). Analysis of safe storage of network information data and financial risks under blockchain combined with edge computing. *Journal of Global Information Management*, 30(11), 1–20. doi:10.4018/JGIM.312580
- Lin, B., & Li, Z. (2022). Does the clean air action really affect labor demand in China? *Journal of Global Information Management*, 30(6), 1–23. doi:10.4018/JGIM.292478
- Lu, Y., Wang, R., Zhang, Y., Su, H., Wang, P., Jenkins, A., Ferrier, R. C., Bailey, M., & Squire, G. (2015). Ecosystem health towards sustainability. *Ecosystem Health and Sustainability*, 1(1), 1–15. doi:10.1890/EHS14-0013.1
- Maes, M. J., Jones, K. E., Toledano, M. B., & Milligan, B. (2019). Mapping synergies and trade-offs between urban ecosystems and the sustainable development goals. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 93, 181–188. doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2018.12.010
- Malik, S., Khyalia, P., & Laura, J. S. (2023). Conventional methods and materials used for water treatment in rural areas. In *Water Resources Management for Rural Development* (pp. 79-90). doi:10.1016/B978-0-443-18778-0.00010-6
- Mannion, A. M. (1995). Biodiversity, biotechnology, and business. *Environmental Conservation*, 22(3), 201–228. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/44521607>. doi:10.1017/S0376892900010596
- McClenachan, G. M., Donnelly, M. J., Shaffer, M. N., Sacks, P. E., & Walters, L. J. (2020). Does size matter? Quantifying the cumulative impact of small-scale living shoreline and oyster reef restoration projects on shoreline erosion. *Restoration Ecology*, 28(6), 1365–1371. doi:10.1111/rec.13235
- Mittermeier, J. C., Correia, R., Grenyer, R., Toivonen, T., & Roll, U. (2021). Using Wikipedia to measure public interest in biodiversity and conservation. *Conservation Biology*, 35(2), 412–423. doi:10.1111/cobi.13702 PMID:33749051
- Mori, A. S., Lertzman, K. P., & Gustafsson, L. (2016). Biodiversity and ecosystem services in forest ecosystems: A research agenda for applied forest ecology. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 54(1), 12–27. doi:10.1111/1365-2664.12669
- Nunes, B., Gholami, R., & Higón, D. A. (2021). Sustainable farming practices, awareness, and behavior in small farms in Brazil. *Journal of Global Information Management*, 29(6), 1–23. doi:10.4018/JGIM.20211101.0a31

- Peterson, M. J., Hall, D. M., Feldpausch-Parker, A. M., & Peterson, T. R. (2010). Obscuring ecosystem function with application of the ecosystem services concept. *Conservation Biology*, *24*(1), 113–119. doi:10.1111/j.1523-1739.2009.01305.x PMID:19659684
- Pinho, P., Casanelles-Abella, J., Luz, A. C., Kubicka, A. M., Branquinho, C., Laanisto, L., Neuenkamp, L., Ortí, M. A., Obrist, M. K., Deguines, N., Tryjanowski, P., Samson, R., Niinemets, Ü., & Moretti, M. (2021). Research agenda on biodiversity and ecosystem functions and services in European cities. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, *53*, 124–133. doi:10.1016/j.baae.2021.02.014
- Plieninger, T., Dijks, S., Oteros-Rozas, E., & Bieling, C. (2013). Assessing, mapping, and quantifying cultural ecosystem services at community level. *Land Use Policy*, *33*, 118–129. doi:10.1016/j.landusepol.2012.12.013
- Pogoda, B., Brown, J., Hancock, B., Preston, J., Pouvreau, S., Kamermans, P., Sanderson, W., & Von Nordheim, H. (2019). The Native Oyster Restoration Alliance (NORA) and the Berlin Oyster Recommendation: Bringing back a key ecosystem engineer by developing and supporting best practice in Europe. *Aquatic Living Resources*, *32*, 13. doi:10.1051/alr/2019012
- Qiu, J. (2022). Ciphertext database audit technology under searchable encryption algorithm and blockchain technology. *Journal of Global Information Management*, *30*(11), 1–17. doi:10.4018/JGIM.315014
- Reid, W. V. (1995). Biodiversity and health: Prescription for progress. *Environment*, *37*(6), 12–39. doi:10.1080/00139157.1995.9930946
- Ridlon, A. D., Marks, A., Zabin, C. J., Zacherl, D., Allen, B., Crooks, J., Fleener, G., Grosholz, E. D., Peabody, B., Toft, J., & Wasson, K. (2021). Conservation of marine foundation species: Learning from native oyster restoration from California to British Columbia. *Estuaries and Coasts*, *44*(7), 1723–1743. doi:10.1007/s12237-021-00920-7
- Roche, P. K., & Campagne, C. S. (2017). From ecosystem integrity to ecosystem condition: A continuity of concepts supporting different aspects of ecosystem sustainability. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, *29*, 63–68. doi:10.1016/j.cosust.2017.12.009
- Ruesink, J. L., Lenihan, H. S., Trimble, A. C., Heiman, K. W., Micheli, F., Byers, J. E., & Kay, M. C. (2005). Introduction of Non-Native oysters: Ecosystem effects and restoration implications. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, *36*(1), 643–689. doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.36.102003.152638
- Saha, L., & Baudhh, K. (2020). *Sustainable agricultural approaches for enhanced crop productivity, better soil health, and improved ecosystem services*. Ecological and Practical Applications for Sustainable Agriculture., doi:10.1007/978-981-15-3372-3\_1
- Schröter, M., Stumpf, K. H., Loos, J., Van Oudenhoven, A. P., Böhnke-Henrichs, A., & Abson, D. J. (2017). Refocusing ecosystem services towards sustainability. *Ecosystem Services*, *25*, 35–43. doi:10.1016/j.ecoser.2017.03.019
- Sen, T., & Samanta, S. K. (2015). Medicinal plants, human health and biodiversity: A broad review. *Advances in Biochemical Engineering/Biotechnology*, *147*, 59–110. doi:10.1007/10\_2014\_273 PMID:25001990
- Severo, E. A., De Guimarães, J. C. F., & Dellarmelin, M. L. (2021). Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on environmental awareness, sustainable consumption and social responsibility: Evidence from generations in Brazil and Portugal. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *286*, 124947. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.124947 PMID:33173257
- Shackleton, C. M. (2021). Ecosystem provisioning services in Global South cities. *Cities and Nature Urban Ecology in the Golbal South*, 203-226. 10.1007/978-3-030-67650-6\_8
- Sharma, S., Basu, S., Shetti, N. P., Kamali, M., Walvekar, P., & Aminabhavi, T. M. (2020). Waste-to-energy nexus: A sustainable development. *Environmental Pollution*, *267*, 115501. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115501 PMID:32892013
- Shih, P. K., & Chang, W. L. (2015). The effect of water purification by oyster shell contact bed. *Ecological Engineering*, *77*, 382–390. doi:10.1016/j.ecoleng.2015.01.014
- Shrivastava, P., & Zsolnai, L. (2022). Wellbeing-oriented organizations: Connecting human flourishing with ecological regeneration. *Business Ethics, the Environment & Responsibility*, *31*(2), 386–397. doi:10.1111/beer.12421

Sun, Y., Yang, Z., Yu, X., & Ding, W. (2022). Evaluating sustainable development of land resources in the Yangtze River Economic Belt of China. *Journal of Global Information Management*, 30(6), 1–23. doi:10.4018/JGIM.285585

Swan, C. M., Brown, B., Borowy, D., Cavender-Bares, J., Jeliaskov, A., Knapp, S., Lososová, Z., Cubino, J. P., Pavoine, S., Ricotta, C., & Sol, D. (2021). A framework for understanding how biodiversity patterns unfold across multiple spatial scales in urban ecosystems. *Ecosphere*, 12(7), e03650. doi:10.1002/ecs2.3650

Taillie, D. M., O’Neil, J. M., & Dennison, W. C. (2020). Water quality gradients and trends in New York Harbor. *Regional Studies in Marine Science*, 33, 100922. doi:10.1016/j.rsma.2019.100922

Thom, D., & Seidl, R. (2015). Natural disturbance impacts on ecosystem services and biodiversity in temperate and boreal forests. *Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 91(3), 760–781. doi:10.1111/brv.12193 PMID:26010526

Uniyal, S., Mangla, S. K., Sarma, P. R., Tseng, M., & Patil, P. (2021). ICT as “Knowledge Management” for assessing sustainable consumption and production in supply chains. *Journal of Global Information Management*, 29(1), 164–198. doi:10.4018/JGIM.2021010109

Vannevel, R., & Goethals, P. L. (2020). Identifying ecosystem key factors to support sustainable water management. *Sustainability (Basel)*, 12(3), 1148. doi:10.3390/su12031148

Wasson, K., Gossard, D. J., Gardner, L., Hain, P. R., Zabin, C. J., Fork, S., Ridlon, A. D., Bible, J. M., Deck, A., & Hughes, B. B. (2020). A scientific framework for conservation aquaculture: A case study of oyster restoration in central California. *Biological Conservation*, 250, 108745. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2020.108745

Wrede, A., Beermann, J., Dannheim, J., Gutow, L., & Brey, T. (2018). Organism functional traits and ecosystem supporting services—A novel approach to predict bioirrigation. *Ecological Indicators*, 91, 737–743. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2018.04.026

Wu, Y., Zhu, D., Liu, Z., & Li, X. (2022). An Improved BPNN Algorithm Based on Deep Learning Technology to Analyze the Market Risks of A+H Shares. *Journal of Global Information Management*, 30(7), 1–23. doi:10.4018/JGIM.313188

Xie, C., Xu, X., Gong, Y., & Xiong, J. (2022). Big data analytics capability and business alignment for organizational agility: A fit perspective. *Journal of Global Information Management*, 30(1), 1–27. doi:10.4018/JGIM.302915

Xing, F., Peng, G., Wang, J., & Li, D. (2022). Critical obstacles affecting adoption of industrial big data solutions in smart factories: An empirical study in China. *Journal of Global Information Management*, 30(1), 1–21. doi:10.4018/JGIM.314789

Yu, Y., Zhao, W., Li, X., Zhang, Y., & Miao, X. (2022). Air pollution and migration: Evidence from China’s dynamic monitoring survey data. *Journal of Global Information Management*, 30(6), 1–24. doi:10.4018/JGIM.300816

Zhang, X., & Song, Y. (2022). Research on the realization of travel recommendations for different users through deep learning under global Information Management. *Journal of Global Information Management*, 30(7), 1–16. doi:10.4018/JGIM.296145

Zhao, Y. (2022). Risk prediction for internet financial enterprises by deep learning algorithm and sustainable development of business transformation. *Journal of Global Information Management*, 30(7), 1–16. doi:10.4018/JGIM.300741

Zhou, J., Xu, X., Jiang, R., & Cai, J. (2022). Evaluation of sustainable economic and environmental development evidence from OECD countries. *Journal of Global Information Management*, 30(6), 1–18. doi:10.4018/JGIM.298665

Zhu, J. (2023). Accurate way of counting oysters. Personal email (July 26, 2023).

Zhu, R., Chen, Y., & Lin, B. (2022). How “Informing Consumers” Impacts Willingness to Pay for Renewable Energy Electricity in China. *Journal of Global Information Management*, 30(1), 1–23. doi:10.4018/JGIM.314788

Zucchella, A., & Previtali, P. (2018). Circular business models for sustainable development: A “waste is food” restorative ecosystem. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 28(2), 274–285. doi:10.1002/bse.2216

*Toby Chau, currently a senior in high school at BASIS Independent Manhattan, is interested in environmental science, machine learning, and urban studies with a commitment to fighting environmental and social injustices. He is the founder of the Urban Forestry Project, an initiative that raises awareness, advocates for tree equity, and works in cities nationwide to plant trees in historically under-resourced BIPOC communities. Recognized for his contributions, Toby is a recipient of the Yale Bassett Award for Community Engagement and a Certificate of Accomplishment from the Princeton Prize for Race Relations. In addition to his advocacy, he is a trumpet player and has performed with ensembles up to the international level.*

*Helen Zhang is currently a junior at Allen D. Nease High School (NHS) in St Johns, Florida. She is enrolled in the International Baccalaureate program and the Engineering Academy at NHS. Helen is the president of the Experiments Club and leads the Urban Forestry Project chapter of Jacksonville, FL. In addition, she has won multiple accolades for piano at local and state levels.*

*Yuyue Gui is a graduate student in the Master of Science in Finance program at Washington University in St Louis. She obtained her Bachelor of Arts in Economics from Durham University in the United Kingdom. Yuyue has been responsible for the Urban Forestry Project's external partnerships, funding liaison, and planning campaigns to raise community awareness of green justice. In addition, she is the basketball manager for the varsity team.*

*Man Fai Lau received his BSc(Hons) from The University of Hong Kong and PhD from The University of Melbourne. He joined Swinburne University of Technology in 2000. He received 2 ARC DP research grants in 2005 (a 3-year ARC DP project) and 2007 (a 5-year ARC DP project). His current research interests are on Data Mining and Testing Prediction Models. Currently, he is working on a Big Data project to test Optimal Oil Drilling Prediction Models based on the past 15+ years of oil drilling data.*