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INTRODUCTION

This article looks at the concept of communities of practice (CoPs) in the workplace. The theories surrounding these types of communities are still very new and in the process of development. The practice and the importance of these communities for knowledge transfer is also still being explored as to best methods for establishing such communities and how to support and encourage them. Below we discuss the background and main threads of theory that are under development.

BACKGROUND

Communities of practice are becoming increasingly important in many organisations. As the APQC (2004) says:

CoPs are becoming the core knowledge strategy for global organizations. As groups of people who come together to share and learn from one another face-to-face and virtually, communities of practice are held together by a common interest in a body of knowledge and are driven by a desire and need to share problems, experiences, insights, templates, tools, and best practices.

To define a community of practice, it is worth considering the words of Etienne Wenger (2001), who is considered one of the foremost experts in this field. He says:

Communities of practice are a specific kind of community. They are focused on a domain of knowledge and over time accumulate expertise in this domain. They develop their shared practice by interacting around problems, solutions, and insights, and building a common store of knowledge.

The initial concept of communities of practice came out of work by Lave and Wenger (1991) relating to situated learning in the workplace and other communities with related interests. Thus such communities are an aggregation of people who are bound (in their specific context) to accomplish tasks or engage in sense-making activities (Brown & Duguid, 1991; Lave & Wenger, 1991). Learning, to Lave, was the transformation of practice in situated possibilities. Newcomers to a group learn from the old participants, bearing in mind that practices will change over time and place due to changes in circumstances. In addition, intergenerational relationships will affect the learning situation. There may well be a fear from the older group members in transferring knowledge to the younger—implying a loss of power and importance—or a fear from the new or younger group members of demonstrating ignorance. So the social process of knowledge acquisition affects the practice of knowledge sharing and the desire for knowledge sharing.

The context or domain for these communities is related to the subject matter around which they are formed. Within this domain, communities interact, learn, and build relationships in order that they may practice their skills through tools, frameworks, idea sharing, artefacts, or documents.

In this Encyclopedia of Communities of Practice in Information and Knowledge Management, a number of particular issues are covered in a multi-layered form. Here we see that such communities are governed by internal informal and unspoken rules dominated by specialised language development. We also see that there are issues in measuring the output and value of such communities for an organisation, that strategy needs to be developed...
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uniquely for each community as well as for the organisation in general, and that how, or even whether, to reward participants is a matter of some debate. The psychology of participants and the difficulties with creating a shared meaning within a community can be explored through philosophy and psychology as well as organisational studies, and we find that many perspectives are available to understand communities and their actions. This being the case, many fields of study have a view on how and why communities work, and how and why people should or could participate in this work.

Focus on Communities

If we accept that the role of CoPs in the business environment is to share knowledge and improve the way the organisation does business, whether in the public or private sector; and that they are a community workplace where people can share ideas, mentor each other, and tap into interests (APQC 2002); each CoP can be a focus of learning and competence for the organisation. Much of the organisation’s work can be facilitated or conversely frustrated through these communities, depending on how permissive or permitted they are. Organisational culture, it would seem, plays a great part in communities and how they operate. The members of a community need to trust the other members before they are willing to share their experience and understanding.

The bonds that tie communities together are both social and professional, and whilst they can be fostered and supported by organisations, they are not formed by them. Convincing people to participate in communities requires an ongoing commitment from the leaders within an organisation to permit communities to self-organise and collaborate, as they see fit, with suitable encouragement and support. Education plays a part in this encouragement, but so too does enthusiasm from amongst the community’s members which will come from seeing the benefits to their own self-knowledge and development as well as a business value. Over-regulation or under-structuring can lead to a stale community or a community that fails to develop and thus eventually ‘fails’. In addition, due to the voluntary nature of membership of such a community, some are affected when they become too prominent in an organisation and may disappear from view (Gongla & Rizzuto, 2004). This can happen in a number of ways. The community may apparently disappear whilst continuing to operate under the organisational surface, not wishing to become too obvious to the formal organisational structure or bound by its requirements. Other CoPs stop operating, merge with other communities, or re-define themselves. CoPs that become formal organisational structures because their work becomes necessary to organisational functioning lose much of what makes them a CoP and transform into project teams.

Vestal (2003) suggests that there are four main types of communities:

- Innovation Communities: that are cross-functional to work out new solutions utilising existing knowledge;
- Helping Communities: to solve problems;
- Best-Practice Communities: attaining, validating, and disseminating information;
- Knowledge-Stewarding: connecting people, and collecting and organising information and knowledge across the organisation.

Each of these community types will require different amounts, levels, and functionality of support. However, it is unwise for any business to rely on CoPs performing these tasks continuously or to a set standard, as their voluntary nature means that outside control should not, or cannot, be exercised directly or they may cease to comply with the tasks at hand.

Building a Community

Communities are easy to destroy but difficult to construct. Membership, and choice, of a community needs to be voluntary, otherwise members may not participate in the knowledge-sharing that is their ‘raison-d’être’.

McDermott (1999) concludes that there are four challenges when building communities: (1) the design of the human and information systems to help the community members think together and interact; (2) to develop communities such that they will share their knowledge; (3) to create an organisational environment that values such knowledge; and (4) to each community member being open and willing to share.
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