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INTRODUCTION

In the Nineties, a new index, the Economic Value Added (EVA), was proposed in order to measure the value of a firm: it is defined as the difference between the operating profits and the cost of the capital used to obtain them. EVA was proposed for the first time by Gordon Bennett Stewart in 1991 (Stewart, 1991): such a valuation model quickly became very successful both from a theoretical and an operative point of view (see, among others, Allman (2010), Das and Pramanik (2009), Relly (2010), Stegmann (2009) and Thomas and Gup (2009)). The EVA method emphasizes, better than other current methods, the capacity of a company to produce earnings in the future. Today EVA is regarded to be much more than a simple mathematical derivation: it is considered a cornerstone of the management strategy by a significant portion of business agents.

According to EVA valuation, the market value of a firm is the sum of its initial economic book value and the sum of the present values, evaluated at weighted average cost of capital (WACC), of the EVA of all the future periods.

The EVA valuation of a firm is equivalent to the one based on the Net Present Value (NPV) of a particular financial project and precisely coincides with the sum of the economic book value and the NPV, evaluated at WACC, of a financial project whose cash flows are the differences of net operating profits after taxes and net new capital invested for growth at every successive date, that is the free cash flows (FCF) of the company. An important distinction between the two concepts needs to be addressed: the EVA principle relies on accounting figures, whereas NPV approach is based on market values. Furthermore, EVA seems to provide more immediateness and incisiveness than NPV.

In the next sections, we will illustrate the concept of EVA and will express EVA in terms of NPV and of the so-called Value-Driver model à la Modigliani-Miller. A comparison between EVA and NPV and a brief discussion about the financial meaning of EVA conclude the chapter.

BACKGROUND

A first comparison between the market value of a firm as the present value of expected dividends, as in neoclassical models, and as the economic book value plus the present value of future expected residual incomes is developed in Peasnell (1982) and in Ohlson (1995) who, together with Edwards and Bell (1961), gave origin to the residual income valuation.

About EVA and, in particular, about the equivalence between EVA and NPV, a huge literature exists, including studies which challenge Stewart’s claims (see, among others, Abdeen and Haight (2002), Biddle et al. (1997), Dodd et al. (1996), Mäkeläinen (2002) and Shrieves and Wachowicz (2001)). Adserà and Viñolas (2003) well emphasize that the two methods, although frequently assumed to be equivalent, require relevant adjustments to produce consistent conclusions.

Besides a wide theoretical debate about the validity of EVA as value indicator (see, for instance, Dierks and Patel (1997) and O’Byrne (1999)), improvements and specifications concerning the proper quantities to be considered, for instance about accounting data, have been proposed (see,
among others, Cheremushkin (2008) and Warr (2005)). The model has been introduced in different fields such as banking and actuarial disciplines (see, for instance, Collins (1995) and many empirical studies have been presented (see, among others, Kleiman (1999) and Milano (2000)).

MAIN FOCUS

The basic idea of EVA is very simple. EVA is defined as the period (yearly) operating profit net of the cost of all the needed capital.

1. In one period case (for instance, one year), if $C$ is the economic book value of the capital committed to business at the beginning of the period, the rate of return of total capital can be defined as:

$$ r = \frac{NP}{C} $$

where $NP$ represents the net operating profit after taxes (Nopat).

Preliminarily, observe that $NP$, unlike the Return on Equity (ROE), does not vary if $C$ is formed only by Equity ($E$) or by Equity and Debt ($D$). Let $c^*$ be the (modified) WACC which also takes into account saved taxes due to interest expenses:

$$ c^* = \frac{Ei + D\delta(1-\tau)}{E + D} $$

where $i$ is the opportunity cost of equity, $\delta$ is the cost of debt and $\tau$ is the tax rate.

By definition, it is:

$$ EVA = (r - c^*) C $$

where $c^*$ is the (modified) WACC at time $t = 0$.

Now, consider the well-known notion of NPV, the sum of present values of the cash flows, discounted at a fixed rate, of a financial project.

If $EVA$ is discounted at WACC, it coincides with the NPV of a project in which $C$ is paid in $t = 0$ and $C(1+r)$ is received in $t = 1$:

$$ \text{discounted } EVA = \left( \frac{r - c^*}{1 + c^*} \right) C $$

$$ = -C + \frac{(1+r)C}{1+c^*} = NPV \left( \frac{c^*}{c} \right) $$

2. In the case of several periods, call:

a. $NP_s$, the Nopat of the $s$-th period;

b. $C_{s-1}$, the starting capital at time $s$, that is the sum of the economic book value $C_0$ at $t = 0$ and all the next investments up to $s-1$ included;

c. $r_s$, the rate of return of the $s$-th period, that is $r_s = NP/C_{s-1}$;

d. $EVA_s$, the $EVA$ of the $s$-th period, that is:

$$ EVA_s = \left( \frac{r - c^*}{c} \right) \frac{C}{s-1} $$

The market value of a firm $V$ is defined as the sum of its economic book value $C_0$ and its Market Value Added (MVA), that is the sum of the present values, valued at $c^*$, of all future EVA's:

$$ V = C_0 + MVA = C_0 + \sum_{s=1}^{+\infty} \frac{EVA_s}{(1+c^*)^s} $$

Example - Let $C_0 = 1,000$, $NP_1 = 150$ and WACC = 0.05. It is:

$$ EVA_1 = (0.15 - 0.05)1,000 = 100 $$
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