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ABSTRACT

Recently, the relation between neuroeconomics and agent-based computational economics (ACE) has become an issue concerning the agent-based economics community. Neuroeconomics can interest agent-based economists when they are inquiring for the foundation or the principle of the software-agent design. It has been shown in many studies that the design of software agents is non-trivial and can determine what will emerge from the bottom. Therefore, it has been quested for rather a period regarding whether anyone can sensibly design these software agents, including both the choice of software agent models, such as reinforcement learning, and the parameter setting associated with the chosen model, such as risk attitude. In this paper, the author will start a formal inquiry by focusing on examining the models and parameters used to build software agents.
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1. NEUROECONOMICS: AN ACE VIEWPOINT

From the perspective of agent-based computational economics (ACE), our interest in neuroeconomics is different from that of general psychologists and neural scientists. Agent-based computational economics advocates a bottom-up research paradigm for economics. This paradigm does not treat micro and macro as two separate entities and work with each of them separately; instead, it studies the relationship between the two in a coherent framework. Therefore, given the bottom-up manner, we pay more attention to the micro details, and always start the modeling at the level of agents. This methodological individualism drives us to incorporate the psychological, cognitive, and neural attributes of human beings into the study of economics. What causes ACE to differ from these behavioral sciences is the scope of the research questions; therefore, while ACE cares about the fundamental cause (the neural cause) of the cognitive biases, it is more concerned with the implications of these cognitive biases for any possible emergent mesoscopic or macroscopic phenomena. Furthermore, ACE researchers do not regard the behavioral factors as given (exogenous); they also study the feedback from the aggregate level (social outcome) to the bottom level (individual behavior). ¹

Given what has been said above, we believe that unless neuroeconomics can provide some important lessons for agent-based computational economists, its significance may hardly go far beyond neural science, and would not draw much attention from economists. This, therefore, motivates us to ask: Does neuroeconomics provide some important lessons for agent-based economic modeling? It is this question that this paper would like to address.

In the following, we will review the recent progresses in neuroeconomics in light of its contributions to different aspects of agent engineering. We start from the most fundamental part of agents, i.e., preferences (Section 2), which points to two foundational issues in economics, namely, the measurement or representation of preference and the formation of preference. Some recent advances in the study of these two issues may lead to new insights in the future of agent engineering with regard to preference development. We then move to the immediate issue after preferences, i.e., choices, or, more precisely, value-based choices (Section 3), and further specify the intertemporal choice (Section 3.1), where we can see how the discount rate should be more carefully designed. We then focus more on two behavioral aspects pertaining to the design of financial agents, namely, risk perception (Section 3.2.1) and risk preference (Section 3.2.2). The neural mechanism regarding learning or adaptation is given in Section 4. Finally, the chapter ends with a final remark that connects the relationships among behavioral economics, neural economics and agent-based economics, which is a continuation of the points made earlier (Chen, 2008).
Related Content

Developing a Framework for Next Generation Integrated Agro Food-Advisory Systems in Developing Countries
[www.igi-global.com/article/developing-a-framework-for-next-generation-integrated-agro-food-advisory-systems-in-developing-countries/163422?camid=4v1a](www.igi-global.com/article/developing-a-framework-for-next-generation-integrated-agro-food-advisory-systems-in-developing-countries/163422?camid=4v1a)

User-Centered Evaluation of Personalized Web Sites: What's Unique?
[www.igi-global.com/chapter/user-centered-evaluation-personalized-web/22232?camid=4v1a](www.igi-global.com/chapter/user-centered-evaluation-personalized-web/22232?camid=4v1a)
How and Why: A Decade of National ICT Policy Formulation in Malawi – A Historical Analysis
www.igi-global.com/article/how-and-why/231599?camid=4v1a

Acculturation Processes and Expatriate Behavior
www.igi-global.com/chapter/acculturation-processes-and-expatriate-behavior/120833?camid=4v1a