INTRODUCTION

Formal university-based distance education has been around for over 100 years. For example, Cornell University established the Correspondence University in 1882, and Chautauqua College of Liberal Arts in New York was awarding degrees via correspondence courses in 1883 (Nasseh, 1997). Soon many other educational institutions, including the University of Chicago, Penn State University, Yale University, and Johns Hopkins University were offering these non-traditional learning options for their students. With the entry of the personal computer into homes and workplaces in the 1980s, learning started to become more technology-driven. However, it was not until the 1990s, with the proliferation of the World Wide Web, that the concept of technology-enhanced education began to change drastically.

E-learning has become the most recent trend in technology-enhanced education. Learning program and materials are hosted and available online, allowing students to learn, interact, and even earn degrees in the comfort of their own homes. Learning materials are being made available electronically not only by educational institutions, but by employers, businesses, and individuals. A Learning Circuits survey found that 81.7% organizations use some sort of learning management system (Ellis, 2005). However, e-learning is not just for distance education. Just-in-time access (or anytime access) to learning materials for both formal and informal learning is transforming the training and educational fields. Anyone with Web space can now make learning materials available to a worldwide audience.

WHAT’S DRIVING THE USAGE OF LMSs?

Knowledge is now being seen as a source of competitive advantage, so there has been a shift from viewing learning as an administrative task to one of strategic significance. Thus, in addition to the obvious need to organize, coordinate, and administer learning effectively, there are other forces driving the increased use of LMSs. Cost savings is the leading reason that corporate America invests in learning management systems (Dobbs, 2003). Companies want their skill sets consistent across their operations. Labor shortages, limited resources, and corporate responsibility are all increasing the need to manage learning (Hall, 2003). As corporate learning becomes more sophisticated, attention will point to enterprise learning management systems that will tie into corporate goals and sales, manage all learning, act as a knowledge repository, and assist in talent, certification, and compliance management (Bersin, 2005).
In educational settings, adoption of learning management systems has been widespread (Coats, 2005). Universities are seeing distance education as a way to decrease costs, decrease the need for classrooms, increase access to education, and increase their student population (Minelli & Ferris, 2005). Faculty members are being told they must have online content, driving a need to make the process easier and less time intensive. In addition, instructors have found that the use of course management systems actually improves their teaching (Ehrman & Gilbert, 2003).

A 2005 survey by Learning Circuits found that the top drivers for implementing an LMS are to centralize management of learning activities, track regulatory compliance, and measure training usage (Ellis, 2005). BCE NExxia wanted a system able to track employee productivity, report learning activities, migrate current coursework online and to handheld services, advise learning paths for individuals, reduce administrative costs, and function as a single point of entry for all training services. Cingular’s goals for implementing an LMS were to establish a consistent curriculum, offer anytime access to learning, and reduce travel (McMaster, 2002).

**What is an LMS?**

In a review of the literature, it is apparent that there is no consistent definition of a learning management system. This is most likely because no two learning management systems are the same. Some organizations develop their own learning management systems and software to address their own unique needs. Others buy off-the-shelf solutions available from a growing number of software vendors. Most organizations use a combination of the two alternatives. The term “learning management system” embraces just about any use of Web technology to plan, organize, implement, and control aspects of the learning process (FastTrak Consulting, Inc., 2000). Bersin (2005) defines an enterprise learning management system as a “single application, used throughout the enterprise, to manage corporate training programs” (¶ 5). An LMS can also be known as a course management system, training management system, a training administration system, or an integrated learning system (Barron, 2000). Greenberg (2002) seems to give the most comprehensive description of an LMS: a high-level, strategic solution for planning, delivering, and managing all learning events within an organization, including online, virtual classroom, and instructor-led courses. An LMS provides the platform for the organization’s online learning environment by enabling the management, delivery, and tracking of blended learning for employees, stakeholders, and customers. Ultimately, the experts agree that an LMS is the most expensive tool in an e-learning initiative (Dobbs, 2003).

**MAIN FOCUS: CONFUSING THE ISSUE**

Confusing the issue are other types of learning-management-related software that are beginning to appear on the market. These include the content management system (CMS) and the learning content management system (LCMS). A CMS is commonly used in online publishing. Its objective is to simplify the creation and management of online content (Nichani, 2001). This content may include articles, reports, pictures, ad banners, and more. The reach of an LCMS is more extensive. An LCMS is a system (primarily Web-based) that is used to author, approve, publish, and manage learning content or learning objects. It combines the administrative and management dimensions of a traditional LMS with the content creation and personalized assembly dimensions of a CMS. (Nichani, 2001). Traditionally, an LMS provided management of learning performance, learning requirements, learning programs, and planning, and an LCMS provided for management of learning content (Greenberg, 2002).

As more and more products appear on the market, the need, not only to manage the learning, but to manage the learning management systems, is coming more apparent. It is rare to find an organization that employs only one piece of software to comprise their entire LMS, although the “enterprise” learning management systems are continuing to increase in the corporate realm (Bersin, 2005). Many are choosing hybrid models where they buy several software programs that meet their specific needs and then build their own integration program to make everything work as one.

**Implementing LMSs**

Implementing an LMS in an organization changes the way learning is managed. Learning has conventionally been instructor-driven, while an LMS places the focus on the learner (Sun Microsystems, 2001). An LMS