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INTRODUCTION

One of the most promising pedagogical advances for online collaborative learning that has emerged in recent years is Scardamalia and Bereiter’s (1996) notion of knowledge-building communities. Unfortunately, establishing and maintaining knowledge-building communities in computer-supported collaborative learning (CSCL) environments such as Knowledge Forum® (Scardamalia & Bereiter, 1998) in the domain of mathematics has been found to be a rather intractable problem (Bereiter, 2002a; Nason, Brett, & Woodruff, 1996). Two major reasons for why computer-supported knowledge-building communities in mathematics have been difficult to establish and maintain have been:

1. Inability of most “school” math problems to elicit ongoing discourse and other knowledge-building activity (Lesh & Doerr, 2003; Nason, Brett, & Woodruff, 1996).
2. Limitations inherent in most computer-based math representational tools (De Corte, Verschaffel, Lowyck, Dhert, & Vanderput, 1999; Nason et al., 1996).

Both of the above innovations are directed at promoting and sustaining mathematical discourse. The requirement that the mathematical problems need to be authentic ensures that students will have the contextual understanding necessary to promote a discussion about the mathematical models. Comprehension modelling (Woodruff & Nason, 2003) further promotes the discourse by making student understanding an additional object for discussion.

BACKGROUND

Most school math problems do not require multiple cycles of designing, testing and refining (Lesh & Doerr, 2003), and therefore, do not elicit the collaboration between people with different repertoires of knowledge that most authentic math problems elicit (Nason & Woodruff, 2004). Another factor that limits the potential of most school math problems for eliciting knowledge-building discourse is that the answers generated from school math problems do not provide students with much worth discussing (Bereiter, 2002a).

Another factor that has prevented most students from engaging in ongoing discourse and other mathematical knowledge-building activity within CSCL environments is the limitations inherent in most computer-based mathematical representational tools (Nason et al., 1996). Most of these tools are unable to carry out the crucial knowledge-building functions of: 1) generating multiple representations of mathematical concepts, 2) linking the different representations, and 3) transmitting meaning, sense and understanding.
Two clear implications can be derived from this. First is that different types of mathematical problems that have more in common with authentic types of mathematical problems investigated by mathematics practitioners than most existing types of school math problems need to be designed and integrated into CSCL environments. Second, a new generation of iconic computer-based mathematical representation tools also need to be designed and integrated into CSCL environments. To differentiate these tools from previous computer-based iconic math representation tools, we have labeled our new generation of tools as comprehension modelling tools. Each of these two issues will be discussed in the next two sections.

**Need for a Different Type of Mathematical Problem**

Empirical evidence supporting the viewpoint that the integration of more authentic types of mathematical problems into CSCL environments may lead to conditions necessary for the establishment and maintenance of knowledge-building activity is provided by the findings from two recent research studies conducted by this chapter’s co-authors.

In a series of research studies, Nason and Woodruff have investigated whether having students engage in model-eliciting mathematical problems with collective discourse mediated by Knowledge Forum® would achieve authentic, sustained and progressive online knowledge-building activity. In this section, we focus on two of these research studies.

In the first (Nason & Woodruff, 2004), 21 students in Grade 6 class at a private urban Canadian school for girls were asked to devise an alternative model that could be used for ranking nations’ performance at Olympic Games which de-emphasized the mind-set of “gold or nothing.” In the second research study (Nason, Woodruff & Lesh, 2002), 22 students in another Grade 6 class at the same school were asked to build a model that could help rank Canadian cities in terms of quality of life.

In both studies, the students were initially presented with an article setting the scene for the model-eliciting activity and a set of focus questions based on the article. After this 45-minute Warm-Up activity, the students went through the phases of: 1) initial model building (one session of 45 minutes), 2) sharing of initial models (one session of 45 minutes), and 3) iterative online critiquing and revision of models within Knowledge Forum (four sessions of 45 minutes). The sharing of the initial models in Phase 2 was done face to face within the classroom. After the face-to-face sharing of the initial models had been completed, each group attached their math model to a Knowledge Forum® note where it could be viewed and evaluated by other participants within the online CSCL community. During the online critiquing and revision of models in Phase 3, Knowledge Forum® provided the contexts and scaffolds for inter-group online discourse.

Five important elements of activity consistent with Scardamalia’s (2002) principles of knowledge-building were observed during the course of these two studies:

1. Redefinition of the problems, which highlights Scardamalia’s principles of _improvable ideas_ and _rising above_.
2. Inventive use of mathematical tools, which highlights Scardamalia’s principle of _improvable ideas_.
3. Posing and exploring conjectures, which highlights Scardamalia’s principles of _idea diversity_ and _knowledge-building discourse_.
4. Collective pursuit of the understanding of key mathematical concepts, highlighting Scardamalia’s principles of _community knowledge_ and _collective responsibility_.
5. Incremental improvement of mathematical models, which highlights Scardamalia’s principle of _improvable ideas_.

Much of the success in establishing and maintaining the online mathematics knowledge-building communities in these two studies can be attributed to the rich context for mathematical knowledge-building discourse provided by the model-eliciting problems. In both problems, students were required to produce a mathematical model for issues that the students found meaningful and relevant. Therefore, they were willing to proceed through multiple cycles of developing, evaluating and revising their models. This process of proceeding through multiple cycles encouraged much online discourse between the groups in each classroom. The model-eliciting problems also had many different possible solutions. Because of this, there was much heterogeneity in the initial models produced by the groups of students. In order to understand other groups’
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