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ABSTRACT

The purpose of this chapter is to describe a study that investigated if and how mobile devices could be used to support the required program outcomes in a blended Bachelor of Education (B.Ed.) program. All students enrolled in an educational technology course during the Fall 2011 semester were provided with a ViewSonic Tablet. Through faculty interviews, student online surveys, and a post-course focus group, the study participants indicated that mobile devices could be useful for supporting future professional responsibilities (e.g., career-long learning, collaboration) and facilitating student learning but less effective for planning, assessment, and managing the classroom environment.

INTRODUCTION

The idea of blending different learning experiences has been in existence ever since humans started thinking about teaching (Williams, 2003). What has recently brought this term into the limelight is the infusion of web-based technologies into the learning and teaching process (Allen & Seaman, 2010; Clark, 2003). These technologies have created new opportunities for students to interact with their peers, teachers, and content.

Blended learning is often defined as the combination of face-to-face and online learning (Sharpe et al., 2006; Williams, 2002). Ron Bleed, the former Vice Chancellor of Information Technologies at Maricopa College, argues that this is not a sufficient definition for blended learning as it simply implies “bolting” technology onto a traditional course, using technology as an add-on to teach a difficult concept or adding supplemental information. He suggests that instead, blended learning should be viewed as an opportunity
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Figure 1. Bachelor of education approach to blended learning

There have been a variety of definitions used for the concept of mobile learning. It has been suggested by Brasher and Taylor (2005) that mobile learning is “any sort of learning that happens when a learner is not at a fixed, predetermined location, or learning that happens when the learner takes advantage of the learning opportunity offered by mobile technologies” (p.33). The Mobile Learning Network (2013) in the United Kingdom states that mobile learning is “... the exploitation of ubiquitous handheld technologies, together with wireless and mobile phone networks, to facilitate, support, enhance and extend the reach of teaching and learning” (What is Mobile Learning? section, para 1). Ally (2009) indicates that M-learning focuses on the delivery of electronic learning materials, with built-in learning strategies, on mobile computing devices to allow access from anywhere and at anytime http://www.google.com/url?q=http%3A%2F%2Fnet.educause.edu%2Fi%2Flibrary%2Fpdf%2FELI3022.pdf&sa=D&sntz=1&usg=AFQjCNG8M8FlkAgR_Xu4g-z8sk8IekweRQ while E-learning involves the delivery of electronic learning materials on desktop and notebook computers. And, the EDUCAUSE Learning Initiative (Brown & Diaz. 2010) attempts to create classifications for mobile learning based on the size of the device. For example, highly mobile devices are cell-phone sized devices that can fit in a pocket: feature phones (supporting cell and SMS service only), smartphones, and other devices like Flip cameras. Very mobile devices are slates, pads, and netbooks. Mobile devices are larger devices such as laptops. This classification system was utilized in this study in order to differentiate the affordances that different sizes and types of mobile devices have on supporting the required program outcomes in a blended pre-service teacher education degree.

There have been previous research studies about the use of mobile devices in higher education (Gikas & Grant, 2013; West, 2012; Zhu et al., 2012). These studies have primarily investigated the advantages and disadvantages of using these...
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