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ABSTRACT
This chapter provides a summarized and objective review over the relationships among innovation, social innovation, entrepreneurship, social entrepreneurship, new business models (NBM), and product (good, service, idea) value and sustainability (economic, social, ecological, and psychological). A study has been done in Porto region through interviews with 13 social innovators and entrepreneurs, in order to evaluate those relationship. The conceptual base for analysis is the theories developed by Jonker (2012) and Carvalho and Jonker (2015). It has been concluded that market-oriented social innovation has a crucial role in the development social entrepreneurship. Moreover, these NBM should be adjusted to population needs towards societal well-being, by combining creation of shared value, co-creation of value, and multiple value creation. Thus, the value set (social innovation) contributes to economic, social, ecological, and psychological sustainability, and consequently to human, social and territorial development.

INTRODUCTION
This chapter begins with the theoretical framework that underlies the concepts of entrepreneurship, social entrepreneurship, entrepreneurial partnerships, innovation, social innovation, social sustainability, new business models (NBM), and the relations among them and their impact on regional development.

Jonker’s theory (2012) was applied to the analysis of 13 cases of social innovation and entrepreneurship. Based on this study, the main characteristics of this NBM were assessed, as well as their link to a balanced value proposition (Carvalho & Jonker, 2015) that considers economic, ecological, social, and psychological factors. These case studies illustrate what is happening in Porto region in terms of social innovation.
and social entrepreneurship. The methodology followed is qualitative, based on interviews with the leaders of the projects and institutions. The analysis allows to draw conclusions about the new trends of social innovation in the region and in Portugal, as well as the adjustment of the social sector to population needs and towards a societal well-being, helping to reduce social exclusion.

The impact on regional and national development is perceived indirectly by the positive impact of these organizations on economic, ecological, social, and psychological sustainability. The latter is a new broad concept developed in connection to the concepts of psychological value (Carvalho & Jonker, 2015) and mental well-being (European Union, 2011).

The organizational pattern behavior is also assessed, namely using the combination of three approaches (Jonker, 2012): (1) sharing, in terms of social capital, equipment, property, data, time, transport, and skills, among partnerships; (2) trading, concerning transactions with associated benefits, like alternate payment methods or with services exchange, and the generation of value other than profit; and (3) creating, related to the creation of multiple values in win-win situations. These behaviors are related to the concepts of creation of social and shared value (Austin & Seitanidi, 2012b; Porter & Kramer, 2011); co-creative networks (Chatterjee, 2013; Zott, Amit, & Massa, 2011); and multiple value creation (Elkington, 1997).

In the end of the chapter, a new model is presented, which considers psychological sustainability as the fourth pillar of human, social, and territorial development.

**BACKGROUND**

**Entrepreneurship**

The identification of business opportunities and the use of enterprise skills to create a new organization or develop an existing one is at the core of the concept of entrepreneurship, which contributes to personal and professional self-realization, active citizenship and social inclusion of the individuals (Vázquez, Lanero, Gutiérrez, & García, 2011).

The entrepreneurial activity is influenced by a set of cognitive (self-efficacy, scripts, cognitive styles, analyzing problems, etc.) and non-cognitive (creativity, autonomy, self-confidence, etc.) competences, and is conditioned by factors like education, family experience in business, access to finance (e.g. Fairlie & Holleran, 2012), and other environmental variables. These variables were pointed out in the Global Entrepreneurship Monitor (Amorós & Bosna, 2014) as the nine structural conditions that facilitate or constrain entrepreneurial activity: financial, government policies, government programs, education and training, research and development transfer, commercial and professional infrastructure, openness of markets / barriers to entry, access to physical infrastructures, and cultural and social norms.

The analysis of the conceptual definitions of entrepreneurship in the literature leads to the conclusion that all approaches are complementary. Entrepreneurship is seen as a process of identifying and valuing an opportunity, and creating value through a package of resources in order to exploit it (Engelen, Heinemann, & Brettel, 2009; Morris, Kuratko, & Covin, 2008; Sahliam, Stevenson, Roberts, & Bhide, 1999; Shane & Venkataraman, 2000; Stevenson & Jarillo-Mossi, 1986). Other authors defend that this process should be innovative and increase wealth, and that it is based on entrepreneurial skills like risk-taking, autonomy, and proactiveness (Nasution, Mavondo, Matanda, & Ndubisi, 2011). In the same line of thought, there are sociological points of view like those of Shapero and Sokol (1982) who state that all organizations and individuals have the potential to be entrepreneurial, and that creating new organizations is a social and economic context-dependent process (Reynolds, 1991; Thornton, 1999). Thus, the entrepreneurial process is viewed as an out-