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ABSTRACT

This essay employs irony as a tool to make clearer the workings of one form of the e-politics of food, namely, the structural food oppression linked to the weight and shape of the female body. Arguing that the e-politics of the weight and shape of the female body is one of the most important incarnations of the e-politics of food and one of the most vigorously contested, this study examines the construction of the assumptions, the ideals, and the rules with which women must contend. The case of Rachel Frederickson, the oft-attacked winner of The Biggest Loser (2014), serves as the focus of the study. The critical rhetorical analysis finds some support for the Women Can't Win thesis. Finally, the authors offers some constructive suggestions for helping to escape the Catch-22 of fat-shaming/skinny shaming.
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INTRODUCTION

This essay uses irony as a tool to make clearer the workings of one form of the e-politics of food, namely, the structural food oppression linked to the weight and shape of the female body. The argument is that the e-politics of the weight and shape of the female body is one of the most important incarnations of the e-politics of food and one of the most vigorously contested. As a window into this realm of the international e-politics of food, the study focuses on Rachel Frederickson, the 2014 winner of the The Biggest Loser, an American television reality show that now has several international adaptions.

To support the argument that the weight and shape of the female body is an incarnation of the e-politics of food, the analysis points to the broad acceptance of the statement: “You are what you eat.” This claim about the food-body relationship may have deep religious roots but, in the modern era, it usually is attributed to Anthelme Brillat-Savarin (1826), Ludwig Andreas Feuerbach (1864), or Victor Lindlahr (1942). Today, obesity is one of the dominant themes in the e-politics of food, suggesting that both what and how much one eats are sites of contention.
A cartoon attack on Michele Obama, an advocate for healthy school lunches, demonstrates just how contentious this discourse can be. A mocking Breitbart cartoon (2011) portrays Michelle Obama devouring hamburgers while saying, “Pass the bacon.” Even though this cartoon commits the *ad hominem* fallacy, it reveals the passion found in the e-politics of food, as well as the gender irony. Michele Obama has been praised for being “fit” and attacked for being “fat.” In the shorthand refrain of this essay: Women can’t win.2

The controversy surrounding Rachel Frederickson on *The Biggest Loser* supports that thesis. *The Biggest Loser* originally was an American television reality show that debuted on NBC October 19, 2004. There now are different variations of *The Biggest Loser* around the world. The show focuses on “obese people competing to win a cash prize by losing the highest percentage of weight relative to their initial weight” (*The Biggest Loser* wiki). The central irony in this reality TV show is contained in the title. In ordinary language, “the biggest loser” is a phrase with derogatory connotations, as it describes a poor example of a human being. However, the TV show transforms the connotation of “loser” into “winner” by reframing the context into that of success in losing weight.

This transformation suggests that only in the context of weight does “loser” move from a derogatory to a complimentary term. However, in an odd form of gender irony, women cannot “win.” “Winner” Rachel Frederickson, who began the 2014 show weighing 260 pounds, lost 155 pounds and was then accused of being too thin at the end of the season. According to Dr. Brenda Weber, professor of Gender Studies at Indiana University:

*A lot of people feel like it’s unfair and inconsistent to say someone is too fat, and now say they’re too thin, like there’s no place for a person to win ... especially a woman. The obese person and the anorexic person actually trigger very similar kinds of reactions, and it’s about these extremes that get written on the body, and they both code as rule-breakers...*

The Rachel Frederickson controversy is at the center of this study. It is an extreme case, to be sure, but serves as an excellent heuristic device. But gender irony in the e-politics of food has much broader implications.

This topic is of broader significance due to of the prominent role of food talk in contemporary public discourse (Ferguson, 2014; Frye, 2012; Nestle, 2002/2013; Pollan, 2006, 2009, 2013). One of the best examples of the politics of food can be seen in the national debate over the passage and the subsequent repeal of the so-called “fat tax” in Denmark (Strom, 2012). This case illustrates the complexity of food politics, located as it is at the intersection of nutrition, health, budgets/revenues, business, consumerism, politics, and social policy. Moreover, the tragic toll that structural food oppression takes on women demands attention from those who analyze the e-politics of food.

While the double-bind that women face (“Women Can’t Win”) is not a novel subject, the use of irony as a tool to help us to understand the e-politics of food breaks...
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