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ABSTRACT
Minecraft is a popular video game that allows players to interact with a 3D environment. Users report that it is easy to learn and understand, is engaging and immersive, and is adaptable. Outside North America it has been piloted for urban planning public consultation processes. However, this game has not yet been studied to determine how and whether it could be used for this purpose. Using key informant interviews, this study asked practicing urban planners to assess Minecraft’s potential. Key findings address Minecraft’s usefulness as a visualization tool, its role in building public trust in local planning processes, the place of play in planning, and the challenges associated with its use in public consultation. The paper concludes with reflections as to how this game could effectively be used for public consultation, and offers key lessons for urban planners whose practice intersects with our digitally-enabled world.
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INTRODUCTION
In recent years, the number of digital participation tools available to planners has been growing dramatically. The constant, rapid influx of apps, visualization tools and social media platforms has presented a challenge to planners who are faced with the work of evaluating how and why to use these technologies to engage the public. Of course, the need to assess new public participation tools is not new to planners. Planning researchers and practitioners have long recognized that appropriate tool selection can have profound effects on the success of a public participation process. In 2001, Richard E. Klosterman argued that “the way in which planners transmit information may be more important than what they say” because poorly chosen engagement methods can undermine the planner’s relationship with the public and impede their communication (p. 10). More recently, Code for America’s Jennifer Pahlka has argued that government should develop user-centered digital platforms in order to grow citizens’ faith in government: “My theory…is that you can start to believe that government can work” (Scola, 2013).

Although Pahlka’s organization focuses on developing new applications specifically for government, planners also need to be able to evaluate the usefulness of existing digital platforms that were developed for other purposes. These new applications, broadly categorized as “civic technology” often have an urban focus. From bus route trackers to apps that allow city hall to poll
citizens’ opinions through SMS messages, now, more than ever, planners need to be better equipped to understand and evaluate how digital platforms can contribute to public consultation efforts. These initiatives can have a range of goals, including outreach, information sharing, collecting feedback, and dialogue. Different digital platforms are better suited for some of these objectives than others, but research is needed in order to identify those strengths and the context in which these tools can be effectively deployed. This research study looks at one digital tool in particular — Minecraft — and asks what potential, if any, do planners and municipal civic public consultation staff identify in using Minecraft as a tool for consulting the public in land use planning processes?

For this study, semi-structured interviews were conducted with twelve planners, municipal staff, and consultants in Toronto (Canada) in the winter of 2014. Each of these respondents had significant experience with public consultation activities while only one third had any exposure to Minecraft. This study found that while using Minecraft has some challenges, this popular game also shows promise for deployment in planning practice. The paper concludes with reflections about the extent to which this game could effectively be used for public consultation, and offers some key lessons for planners whose practice intersects with our digitally enabled environment.

**PLANNING, PUBLIC CONSULTATION AND DIGITAL PLATFORMS: A REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE**

Public calls to improve the effectiveness and inclusiveness of urban planning processes in North America began in the 1950s and 60s when members of the public argued that the planning process was undemocratic and that commonly used consultation methods failed to direct urban planning in a way that would serve their needs. Many planning scholars agreed. Particularly influential was Sherry Arnstein’s 1969 critique, in which she introduced the “ladder of participation.” Arnstein’s distinction between differing degrees of public involvement drew attention to the routine disconnection between public consultation and decision-making processes, and went on to inform countless discussions about how planning, as a profession, might better work with its public (Lane 2005).

In the decades that followed, planning processes were ultimately transformed. Whereas public involvement in land use planning was previously limited to the public’s receipt of information about city plans, it began to involve a spectrum of activities. In this paper, the term “public consultation” will be used to represent activities when local government (or agency) staff seek input from the public on land use planning related decision-making. Planning departments are now required and expected to be proactive in their involvement of a wide range of citizens, and planners have come under increasing pressure “to create opportunities for broader participation” (Sandercock 2005, p. 438). Not only is public consultation a legal requirement of many municipal planning departments, but many planners also share a faith in those benefits (Brabham 2009, Creighton 2005, Innes and Booher 2000). Public consultation can help a plan obtain public support (Burby, 2003, Brody et al., 2003), can help planners craft plans that better serve the needs of the public (Van Herzele 2004) and has been shown, by academic research, to offer benefits to community members (Creighton 2005, Yang and Pandey 2011).

By the end of the 1980s there was widespread agreement that public participation in planning through consultation activities was necessary for ethical reasons, to improve plan quality, and in order to increase the chance that they will be implemented (Goodspeed 2008). Then progressive practitioners and researchers turned their attention to the question of how to meaningfully engage the public. For citizen-planner interactions to have meaning, the processes used need to facilitate effective communication (Mees and Dodson, 2007), to reach beyond a limited number of people.
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