Chapter 1

Marianne Ojo
George Mason University, USA

ABSTRACT

Many questions have been raised as to whether financial accounting has become more conservative. The value relevance and qualitative characteristics of accounting information have become topics of particular relevance given the role they have assumed in influencing the value judgment of investors in deciding whether or not to invest in a certain market. Given the quality of accounting information – which has resulted in misleading and inaccurate information, it became evident, particularly following Enron’s collapse, to adopt improved, enhanced, better quality standards: namely, International Financial Reporting Standards. This chapter considers the background culminating in the adoption of IFRS – as well as the need for the adopt-
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The Need for Global Adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards

Post Enron consequences such as the introduction of various legislation – most notable of which is the Sarbanes Oxley Act, as well as the need for embracing International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), have certainly had immense impact, not only within the United States, but also globally.

Part of the problems attributed to Enron’s collapse is considered to have originated from the use of off balance sheet instruments. One consequence of the global adoption and implementation of IFRS will be the operation of larger balance sheets since a lot of financial instruments which had not previously been accounted for on the face of the balance sheet, will now be brought under the scope of the balance sheet.

IAS 32 and IAS 39 address off-balance sheet instruments. Off balance sheet instruments created problems in the Parmalat and Enron cases as they were not reflected in the balance sheet – even though sizes could have been as large as two to three times global GDP. The standards bring the financial instruments under the balance sheet. One of the biggest challenges facing the IASB constitutes reconciling IAS 32 and 39 globally – with the US in particular. Other debates relating to IAS 32 and 39 include the distinction between debt and equity as this distinction does not exist in the markets. Bond converts to equity under certain circumstances and vice versa. Under IAS 32 what you could call equity may not be permitted under banking regulation.

There are currently four standards in issue by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB), which relate to financial instruments. These are: IAS 32 financial instruments: presentation; IAS 39 financial instruments: recognition and measurement; IFRS 7 financial instruments: disclosures, and IFRS 9 financial instruments.

As well as being a replacement for IAS 39, IFRS 9 will not be mandatory until 2015 (although early application is permitted).“12

IAS 32 deals with all types of financial instruments, both recognized and unrecognized and should be applied to contracts to buy or sell a non-financial item that can be settled net

- In cash,
- By another financial instrument, or
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