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INTRODUCTION

In recent years, researchers have tried to extend Petri net to model multimedia. The focus of the research flows from the synchronization of multimedia without user interactions, to interactions in distributed environments (Bastian, 1994; Blakowski, 1996; Diaz, 1993; Guan, 1998; Huang, 1998; Huang, 1996; Little, 1990; Nicolaou, 1990; Prabhakaran, 1993; Prabhat, 1996; Qazi, 1993; Woo, 1994). The issues that concern us are the flexibility and compactness of the model. Petri net extensions have been developed to facilitate user interactions (UI) in distributed environments; however, they require sophisticated pre-planning to lay out detailed schedule changes. In this article, we introduce a Reconfigurable Petri Net (RPN).

An RPN is comprised of a novel mechanism called a modifier (f), which can modify an existing mechanism (e.g., arc, place, token, transition, etc.) of the net. A modifier embraces controllability and programmability into the Petri net and enhances the real-time adaptive modeling power. This development allows an RPN to have a greater modeling power over other extended Petri nets. The article introduces both the model and theory for RPN and a simulation to show that RPN is feasible.

BACKGROUND

Little (1990) has proposed the use of Object Composition Petri Net (OCPN) to model temporal relations between media data in multimedia presentation. The OCPN model has a good expressive power for temporal synchronization. However, it lacks power to deal with user interactions and distributed environments. Extended Object Composition Petri Net (XOCPN), proposed by Woo, Qazi, and Ghafoor (1993), is an improved version of OCPN with the power to model distributed applications, but it does not handle user interactions.

The lack of power in OCPN to deal with user interactions has led to the development of an enhanced OCPN model, Dynamic Timed Petri Net (DTPN) proposed by Prabhakaran and Raghavan (1993). DTPN provides the ability for users to activate operations like skip, reverse, freeze, restart, and scaling the speed of presentation.

Guan (1998) has proposed DOCPN to overcome the limitations of the original OCPN and XOCPN. DOCPN extends OCPN to a distributed environment using a new mechanism known as prioritized Petri nets (P-nets) together with global clock and user interaction control. Guan and Lim (2002) later proposed another extended Petri net: Enhanced Prioritized Petri Net (EP-net), an upgraded version of P-net. It has a Premature/Late Arriving Token Handler (PLATH) to handle late and/or premature tokens (locked tokens forced to unlock). Moreover, EP-net has another feature: a dynamic arc that simplifies and improves the flexibility of designing interactive systems.

None of the above-mentioned Petri nets have controllability and programmability built in as RPN has offered to Petri net, neither do they have the ability to model a presentation on the fly and simulate real-time adaptive application.

RECONFIGURABLE PETRI NET

Definitions

RPN consists of two entities: control and presentation layers. Each entity is represented as a rectangle. These two layers can be joined together by a link (denoted by a double line). A link represents necessary interactions between the control layer and the presentation layer. Note that multiple presentation
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and control layers could exist in a model. An example of RPN is shown in Figure 1. Initially, there are no mechanisms (e.g., $t_1$, $p_1$, and $p_3$) inside the white box of the presentation layer. After the activation of the modifiers (e.g., $f_1$, $f_2$, and $f_3$) in the control layer, the mechanisms inside the white box are created. First, the control layer as shown in Figure 1 starts with a token in $p_{UI}$, transition $t_a$ is enabled and fires. The token is removed from $p_{UI}$ and created at modifier $f_1$. Upon the token arriving at modifier $f_1$, transition $t_3$ is created in the presentation layer. Transition $t_b$ is enabled and fires only if a token is present at $f_1$ and the transition $t_3$ is created. After transition $t_b$ is enabled and fires, the token in modifier $f_1$ is removed and created at modifier $f_2$. Upon the token arriving at modifier $f_2$, the place $p_5$ is created in the presentation layer. Next, transition $t_c$ is enabled and fires. The token in modifier $f_2$ is removed and created at modifier $f_3$. Upon the token arriving at modifier $f_3$, the arcs $p_4t_3$ and $t_3p_5$ are created in the presentation layer. Finally, transition $t_d$ is enabled and fires. The token is removed from modifier $f_3$. Therefore, we have shown how a RPN works. In the following, we explain the definitions related to RPN.

**Definition: Control and Presentation Layers**

The structure of an unmarked layer in RPN is a six-tuple, $S = \{T, P, A, D, L, COM\}$. For marked RPN’s layer, the definition of the structure becomes a seven-tuple, $S = \{T, P, A, D, L, COM, M\}$. Refer to the structures $S$ as mentioned above, where $P \cap T = \emptyset$.

A complete RPN net may consist of zero or more control layers and one or more presentation layers.

$T = \{t_1, t_2, t_3, \ldots, t_m\}$ is a finite set of transitions where $m > 0$.

$P = \{p_1, p_2, p_3, \ldots, p_i, f_4, f_5, f_6, \ldots, f_k\}$ is a finite set of places and/or modifiers where $i$ and $k > 0$.

$COM: f_a \rightarrow \{com_1, com_2, com_3, \ldots, com_z\}$ is a mapping from the set of modifiers to the commands (as defined in Table 1) where $a$ and $z > 0$.

$A: \{P \times T\} \cup \{T \times P\}$ is a set of arcs representing the flow relation.

$M: P \rightarrow \Gamma$, $\Gamma = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ is a mapping from the set of places or modifiers to the integer numbers, representing a marking of a net.

$D: p_b \rightarrow R^+$ is a mapping from the set of places to the non-negative real numbers, representing the presentation intervals or the durations for the resources concerned where $b > 0$.

$L = \{c_x\}$ or $p_{x\text{\_i}}$ indicates whether an entity is a control layer $c_x$ or presentation layer $p_{x\text{\_i}}$ where $x > 0$.

The set of graphical symbols for RPN are demonstrated in Figures 2a to 2h. A classic place is shown in Figure 2a, which represents a resource (e.g., audio, video playback, etc.). If the place is associated with duration (D), it indicates the interval of the resource to be consumed. Figure 2b displays a transition, which represents a synchronization point in a presentation. In Figure 2c, an arc is demonstrated which represents a flowing relation in a presentation. Then, the links as shown in Figure 2d establish connections linking two