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ABSTRACT

The use of information and communication technologies (ICT) in the assessment process is becoming an asset, giving rise to the so-called computer-based assessment or e-assessment. Nowadays, its use is becoming more usual in higher education institutions. Closed formats for questions, namely multiple choice, are the most commonly used. This chapter presents a literature review of the main aspects related to this topic, including the main modalities of assessment (summative assessment and continuous assessment). Issues related to multiple choice questions (MCQ) are discussed with more detail, referring to the various formats of MCQ, its advantages and limitations, with a particular focus on its use in mathematics tests. Also, some guidelines for the quality assurance of MCQ with quality are included.

INTRODUCTION

Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) raise challenges and at the same time, offer tools that allow teachers to create differentiated learning opportunities for students. Its use is recommended by several European organizations, such as the European Parliament (Redecker, 2013; Redecker & Johannessen, 2013). The use of ICT in the assessment process becomes a reality in more than one way, namely through the electronic or e-assessment format. In this case, ICT are used throughout the assessment process from the development of the tests to the storage of the results (Stödberg, 2012). A
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possible approach consists of the development of specific environments for this end (Boticki & Milas-
novic, 2008; Dascalu & Bodea, 2010; Llamas-Nistal et al., 2013). Another approach consists of the use
of the so-called Learning Management Systems (LMS) (Burrow, Evdorides, Hallam, & Freer-hewish,
have the advantage of providing a vast set of tools specifically designed for the implementation of e-
assessment. Among these tools we highlight tests, which can include several types of questions, such as
multiple-choice, true/false, matching, short answers.

Bearing in mind its purpose, assessment may be formative and/or summative, or diagnostic (Jacob,
Issac, & Sebastian, 2006; Redecker & Johannessen, 2013; Stödberg, 2012). We can consider that sum-
mative assessment reflects the paradigm of “Learning for Assessment” whilst diagnostic and formative
assessments reflect the paradigm of “Assessment for Learning” (Jacob et al., 2006). The first paradigm
seems to be the most common one in the assessment traditionally made in Higher Education, which
consists of carrying out one or more previously scheduled tests (Flores, Simão, Barros, & Pereira,
2015, p. 1525). E-assessment has come to serve as a catalyst for a change of this first paradigm to the
second one, given that in relevant scientific studies on this issue, the use of formative assessment or of
both types, formative and summative simultaneously, is more common than the summative assessment
(Stödberg, 2012).

Another important aspect is to see how the type of tasks carried out in e-assessment.

As a result of a careful review of the literature in relevant scientific journals in the area and since it
corresponds to our practice as teachers, a classification with which we identify ourselves is presented
by Stödberg (2012), where the author lists five categories: i) close-ended questions, such as multiple-
choice or matching questions; ii) open-ended questions; iii) portfolios; iv) products, such as computer
programs; and v) discussion between students. The same study concludes that close-ended questions
are the most frequently used in e-assessment. Among close-ended questions, multiple-choice questions
(MCQ) are particularly relevant and are related to some specificities, presenting advantages and limita-
tions. These various aspects, as well as the comparison of this type of assessment with others, have been
the object of scientific research in this area (Bible, Simkin, & Kuechler, 2008; Bush, 2015; Haladyna,
Downing, & Rodriguez, 2002; Lee, Liu, & Linn, 2011; Liu, Lee, & Linn, 2011; Rod et al., 2010; Torres,
Lopes, Babo, & Azevedo, 2011).

In the study presented in Torres et al. (2011) some advantages of tests formed by MCQ are intro-
duced, such as: i) usage in diverse contents; ii) measure of a wide variety of educational and learning
classes; iii) adaptation to various levels of cognitive skills; iv) usefulness for assessing numerous classes;
v) automatic correction and grading of the tests and statistical analyses can be easily carried out, using
computerized systems, as in the case of LMS, and; vi) they provide the most useful format for compari-
sons over time, due to its objectivity. Concerning the limitations of MCQ tests, the same study concludes
that these tests: i) may be difficult to construct for higher levels of cognitive skills; ii) require written
skills from the teachers, so that the questions are clear; iii) require reading skills from the students, in
order to correctly interpret the questions; (iv) fail to measure some types of learning outcomes, such as
the ability to communicate; (v) many times it is difficult to find good distractors, which correspond to
the non-correct choices; and (vi) some students might be tempted to guess the answer.

In terms of scientific research related to MCQ, one of the main research topics is related to how
MCQ should be developed. An important study presented in Haladyna, Downing and Rodriguez (2002)
introduces a set of guidelines for the construction of MCQ. This work considers 31 guidelines divided
into 5 categories, namely: i) content concerns, e. g., to avoid trick questions; ii) formatting concerns, e.
Related Content

Effect of Computer Assisted Instructional Package on Students’ Learning Outcomes in Basic Science
www.igi-global.com/article/effect-of-computer-assisted-instructional-package-on-students-learning-outcomes-in-basic-science/236071?camid=4v1a

www.igi-global.com/chapter/social-e-learning-tools/171943?camid=4v1a

Learner Perceptions of Open Pedagogy in a Psychology Course: A Case Study on Instructional Design With Open Educational Resources
www.igi-global.com/chapter/learner-perceptions-of-open-pedagogy-in-a-psychology-course/243307?camid=4v1a

A Distributed Community of Practice to Facilitate Communication, Collaboration, and Learning Among Faculty
www.igi-global.com/chapter/a-distributed-community-of-practice-to-facilitate-communication-collaboration-and-learning-among-faculty/199305?camid=4v1a