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ABSTRACT

This chapter examines empirically, the intended and unintended consequences that occur when a local government chooses to migrate away from a proprietary software technology such as Windows, and adopt a free/open source (FOSS) technology alternative. Motivation driving the process is also considered. Drawing on a comparative case study of 4 European cities, the research finds evidence that migration to FOSS is driven by a strong desire to maintain control over a municipality’s IT infrastructure and that organizational change can be an important unintended consequence of the policy.

INTRODUCTION

A growing number of local governments as diverse as Austin, Texas and Paris, France have opted to migrate at least some of their cities’ computer operating systems and software applications from proprietary software to so-called Free/Open Source Software (FOSS) alternatives. FOSS is a generic term for software that is non-proprietary, can be reviewed by large numbers of users, and can be revised and shared free of charge. Most of the attention devoted to FOSS centers on the policies of private companies (Fink 2002, Kerstetter et al., 2003), national governments (Weber 2004; Karaganis and Latham 2005; Comino, S. and F.M. Manenti, 2005), and supra-national governments such as the European Union (Ghosh 2005; Cukier 2005; Gonzalez-Barahona 2006). This chapter focuses on local governments and tries to answer empirically two relatively simple questions: first, why does a local government turn to FOSS?; and second, what, if any, are some of the intended and unintended consequences that occur when a local government shifts from pro-
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proprietary software to FOSS? The research draws
on data collected from a comparative case study
of four European cities, each of which adopted a
policy to migrate away from Microsoft Windows
and toward a FOSS-based operating system, and
replace proprietary software applications with
open source equivalents.

The chapter is exploratory rather than explana-
tory. In comparing four cases, the objective is to
develop theories about the adoption of FOSS by
local governments; theories which future research
may be able to test through large-scale N studies
of local governments. The cities include Vienna,
Munich, Schwäbisch Hall, and Treuchtlingen.
They range in size, type of organizational structure
and complexity (See Table 1). With the exception
of Vienna, all are located in the south-west region
of Germany. Each city had previously used a ver-
sion of Microsoft Windows. Each city adopted a
policy which committed the municipal govern-
ment to migrate to the Linux operating system
along with FOSS applications. And, although all
are committed to migrating to FOSS, they differ
in their approach and strategy, and in terms of
where they are in the implementation stage.

A comparison of four European cities is clearly
insufficient to capture all the issues associated
with the adoption of open source technology
by local governments. FOSS is an international
phenomenon that is as prevalent and important
in emerging industrial powers such as China,
India, and Brazil, as in advanced industrialized
countries (Wong 2004; Kim 2005; Sharma and
Adkins 2006). The issues and challenges develop-
ing countries confront are likely to be very dif-
ferent than those in advanced industrial regimes
(S. Weerawarana and J. Weeratunge 2004; Dravis
2004). Notwithstanding such limitations the study
seeks to move the scholarship beyond a single
case study by comparing the experiences of four
local governments. And, while all are located in
Europe, they are considered leaders in the adoption

Table 1. Cities in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Background</th>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Year Adopted</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treutchlingen</td>
<td>Pop. 13,000 located in south-west Germany.</td>
<td>Mandated switch; operating system and applications</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwäbisch Hall</td>
<td>Pop. 36,000 located in south-west Germany</td>
<td>Mandated switch; operating system and applications</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vienna</td>
<td>Pop. 1.6 million; 16,000 PCs and 800 laptops; located in Austria</td>
<td>Voluntary and incremental approach; Focus on platform independence</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Several thousand use FOSS applications; 500 PCs completely switched</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Munich</td>
<td>Pop. 1.3 million; 14,000 PCs; located in southern Germany</td>
<td>Mandated switch; operating system and applications</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>3 of 17 departments complete</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>