An Exploration of Data Interoperability for GDPR

Harshvardhan J. Pandit, ADAPT Centre, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland
Christophe Debruyne, ADAPT Centre, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland
Declan O’Sullivan, ADAPT Centre, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland
Dave Lewis, ADAPT Centre, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

ABSTRACT

The General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) specifies obligations that shape the way information is collected, shared, provided, or communicated, and provides rights for receiving a copy of their personal data in an interoperable format. The sharing of information between entities affected by GDPR provides a strong motivation towards the adoption of an interoperable model for the exchange of information and demonstration of compliance. This article explores such an interoperability model through entities identified by the GDPR and their information flows along with relevant obligations. The model categorises information exchanged between entities and presents a discussion on its representation using existing standards. An investigation of data provided under the Right to Data Portability for exploring interoperability in a real-world use-case. The findings demonstrate how the use of common data formats hamper its usability due to a lack of context. The article discusses the adoption of contextual metadata using a semantic model of interoperability to remedy these identified shortcomings.
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INTRODUCTION

Businesses are increasingly using personal data to provide services, especially online, in various forms such as personalisation of provided services and targeted advertisements. Such services need to adhere to data protection laws governing the collection and subsequent usage and sharing of personal data. Previously, the Data Protection Directive, or DPD (DPD, 1995), in the European Union regulated the processing of personal data. This has been superseded by the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR, 2016), abbreviated as GDPR, which is the new European data protection legislation that entered into force on 25th May 2018. Non-compliance towards its obligations carries a fine of up to €20 million or 4% of a company’s global annual turnover of the previous financial year, whichever is higher, based on the nature of offense (Article 83). This makes GDPR an important legislation in terms of changes to the organisational measures required for compliance. In particular, GDPR
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focuses on the use of consent and personal data as the basis of operations and provides the data subject with several rights. These new changes have spurred innovation within the community that targets compliance with the various obligations of the GDPR. 

Along with providing constraints for how personal data is used and shared through various processes, the GDPR also provides statements about the way information is shared or communicated between various entities. GDPR provides seven key principles (Article 5) that act to guide the processing of personal data. These are - Lawfulness, fairness and transparency, Purpose limitation, Data minimisation, Accuracy, Storage limitation, and Integrity and confidentiality, and Accountability. While these principles are similar to those within the DPD, GDPR encompasses these principles in a larger role in its adherence towards compliance. These principles set out how each data controller should process the personal data of clients or data subjects and forms the guideline for duties and obligations for compliance by entities. For example, a Data Processor under the GDPR is an entity that can only act on the data under the instructions it receives from a Data Controller or another Data Processor (making it the sub-Processor). Therefore, a Data Processor cannot decide the purpose of the data it receives and must adhere to the instructions it receives from the Data Controller or Data Processor that provides the data. Assuming this entity is a Data Controller, the agreement with the Data Processor is expected to state these responsibilities in an explicit manner such that the Data Processor as well as the Data Controller can verify or audit the accountability of this agreement for obligations provided by the GDPR.

The GDPR provides several rights to the data subjects whose adherence is mandatory for organisations. The Right to Inform (Article 12-14) and Right to Access (Article 12, 15) provide the Data Subject the right to be informed regarding how their personal data is or will be collected, processed, stored, and used along with the specific purposes. The Right to Data Portability (Article 12, A20) enables the Data Subject to receive a copy of their personal data which they have provided to the Data Controller. It also allows the Data Subject to request this data to be directly moved, copied, or transferred to another Data Controller. The provided must be in a commonly used, machine readable, and interoperable format. The exercising of these rights involves an explicit interaction between the Data Controller and the Data Subject or another Data Controller where the information exchanged is the personal data under consideration. Additionally, GDPR explicitly mentions interoperability as one of the mandatory properties of this data, making its adoption a necessary part towards its compliance.

While there is no requirement for legally structuring shared data in a particular way, doing so has benefits for all entities involved. For Data Subjects, this provides consistency in terms of understandability and interoperability of their personal data. For Data Controllers and Data Processors, this enables seamless operations through interoperable mechanisms that also act as demonstrable compliance towards required obligations. For Supervisory Authorities, the interoperability of data provides a uniform interface when conducting investigations, being particularly helpful when tracing the flow of information across multiple entities.

This paper investigates interoperability in the context of the GDPR. It presents an overview of the GDPR in terms of entities involved and presents a systematic representation of their interactions. Through this, it presents an analysis of the entities categorised according to their role as defined by the GDPR, the nature of information flows between these entities, and the requirements for interoperability in their interactions through these information flows. Through this analysis, the paper investigates the creation of an interoperability model based on interactions between entities and shaped by relevant obligations enforced by the GDPR which act as requirements for the model. The purpose of this model is to highlight how information exchanged by entities is affected by the GDPR and to discuss its representation using various existing standards and standard-creating bodies. The paper also presents a discussion on how the model is useful for operations involving information exchange and towards ensuring its compliance with the obligations of the GDPR.

The main contribution of this paper is the above-mentioned model for interoperability based on the GDPR. Through the model, the paper identifies the categories of information shared by entities
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