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ABSTRACT

This chapter evaluates the use of screencast video feedback for summative assessment tasks in the creative arts and analyzes the advantages and disadvantages of such a format when compared to traditional feedback techniques. In 2017, in the second-year course Narrative Animation at the University of South Australia, video feedback was trialed for summative assessment tasks, in an attempt to improve students' understanding of their academic performance. Thirty-seven students participated in the course and received a five-minute feedback video for each of their three submissions. The video feedback provided to students during the course was evaluated at the end of the semester in the form of two online surveys, allowing participating students with the opportunity to critically reflect on the learning experience. The findings of the study disseminate the learning benefits afforded by the video feedback model and provide insight into the varying attitudes of both students and staff.

INTRODUCTION

This chapter evaluates the use of screencast video feedback for summative assessment tasks in the creative arts, and analyses the advantages and disadvantages of such a format when compared to traditional feedback techniques. More specifically, video feedback was trialed in the course Narrative Animation at the University of South Australia, in Australia, in order to improve students’ understanding of their academic performance. Student evaluations of the two previous iterations of the course identified feedback as an area that could be improved in the future, specifically the provision of feedback for summative assessment tasks. As such, in 2017 alternate forms of feedback provision were researched and explored.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-7998-0426-0.ch009
The second-year course, which sits in the Bachelor of Media Arts program, included three animated video summative assessment tasks, and it was hypothesized that video-based feedback would provide students with a clearer understanding of their academic performance within the course by providing more detailed and relevant commentary on their work. Within this hypothesis, the aim of the case study was to establish the advantages and disadvantages of a screencast video feedback model. To achieve this aim, a series of research questions were established:

- Does screencast video feedback enable better understanding of academic performance than other feedback methods, such as written, verbal or audio?
- Does screencast video feedback enable better understanding of academic performance within different student demographics?
- Are screencast video feedback files accessible: can students access and view video feedback easily?
- Are screencast video feedback files easy for staff to produce and distribute in a timely manner?
- What are the workload implications on course staff, for providing screencast video feedback?

**BACKGROUND**

**Summative Assessment and Feedback in Higher Education**

Summative assessment involves evaluating or examining a student’s academic performance, and reviews their progress at a specific time within a course or program, through feedback and a grade (Harlen & James, 1997; Scriven, 1967; Taras, 2005). The focus of summative assessment is on the outcome of a task, such as an exam or assignment, and seeks to monitor educational outcomes (Knight, 2002; Shepard, 2005). Summative assessment is considered as *assessment of learning*, differing from formative assessment which can be defined as *assessment for learning* (Black, Harrison, Lee, Marshall, & Wiliam, 2004).

It is accepted in the research literature that assessment is vital to student learning in higher education, and that feedback is a core component of the assessment process in terms of evaluating and also elevating student academic performance (Biggs, 2003; Bloxham & Boyd, 2007; Gibbs & Simpson, 2004; Harris, Brown, & Harnett, 2014; Henderson & Phillips, 2015; Lunt & Curren, 2009; Orsmond & Merry, 2011). Moreover, high quality and timely feedback, both in formative and summative form, are crucial features for enhancing effective student learning and in developing strong relationships between staff and students (Crook, Mauchline, Maw, Lawson, Drinkwater, Lundqvist, Orsmond, Gomez & Park, 2012; Irons, 2008). Henderson and Philips (2015) point out however, that feedback is a broad term and that both its design and perceived benefits depend on its context, mode and delivery. High quality feedback can improve the learning experience, and has the capacity to motivate students, and facilitate stronger academic performance, whereas poor quality feedback can lead to a weaker learning experience and dishearten students.

Gould and Day (2013) note, the use of effective feedback by teachers provides the foundations for learner autonomy and a framework for high achievement. Effective feedback is essential as the scaffolding that enhances learning. Merry and Orsmond (2008) suggest that meaningful feedback enables students to achieve goals to a greater extent than they would without it, while Nicol and MacFarlane-Dick (2006)