ABSTRACT
A look at today’s higher education institutions shows an increasing number of culturally diverse students. The ability of faculty to value these learners can serve as an unmatched resource to enrich the learning experience for students and enhance the intercultural leadership development of faculty. We will explore how the integration of research in experiential learning and cultural intelligence (CQ) can help develop a process model for faculty to turn their interactions with diverse students into learning outcomes (Ng, Van Dyne, & Ang, 2009). The application of cultural intelligence, which offers a four-factor framework (metacognitive intelligence, cognitive intelligence, motivational intelligence, and behavioral intelligence) might increase the likelihood that faculty who interact with diverse students will engage in the four-stage theory of experiential learning: experience, reflection, conceptualization, and experimentation (Ng, Van Dyne, & Ang, 2009; Mezirow, 1997). The experience will impact experiential and learning outcomes, and can lead to multiple advantages.

INTRODUCTION
The demography of the student population at colleges and universities has clearly shifted over the last fifteen years (Chun, 2009). It means that leading in a multicultural environment today is increasingly becoming a challenge. This is especially evident in today’s higher education community, where effective leaders are needed and are an important asset (Ng, Van Dyne, & Ang, 2009). According to (Dhaliwal, 2010), the increasingly multicultural student bodies pursuing opportunities in a global environment and the complexities in institutions of higher learning make it all the more critical for instructional leaders
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to have the capacity to be mindful, sensitive, and understanding of students particularly as it relates to
the advantages that students and instructional leaders stand to gain. Leading in the 21st century requires
cultural intelligence combined with the knowledge of how to lead. This can have a significant impact
on intercultural interaction and workplace success. What is needed in educational institutions today are
culturally intelligent and interculturally competent leaders who are well equipped to function in diverse
learning environments. As Chun (2009) posits, “From the standpoint of resources, faculty and staff
represent the institution’s intellectual and creative capital, the talent that drives the engine of academe”
(p. 16). The training and development of classroom leaders therefore, should be a priority that impacts
the effectiveness and competitiveness of higher education institutions.

Patterson (2009) declared that there is a basic expectation across the education community that
cultural proficiency is considered a component of teaching. It is becoming more and more apparent
that the interaction among culturally diverse groups on college and university campuses needs to be ad-
dressed whether through professional development training delivered on-site by the institution or by a
consulting firm or other means of professional enrichment. Ng et al. (2009) asserts that while exposure
to the difficulties of living and working in a foreign country may be a viable intercultural development
initiative to nurture educational leaders, this option is not feasible for all. A more salient approach in-
volves dimming the focus on the performance and adjustment aspects of leaders in multicultural learning
environments and placing the emphasis on learning outcomes. This level of attainment can come about
by cultivating knowledge of behaviors that are appropriate when communicating with people from vari-
ous cultures, having greater awareness of cross-cultural differences, and the ability to communicate in
a foreign language. People appreciate cultures when they can learn from them. Instructors who adopt a
mindset by which they attempt to view things from the perspectives of other people will add knowledge
to their personal repertoires (Samovar, Porter, & McDaniel, 2012). The goal, therefore, is to empower
faculty with the skills, knowledge, abilities, and other characteristics that are relevant in the classroom.

By using adult learning theories, we will develop a procedures model that influences learning effec-
tiveness of classroom leaders. We introduce Kolb’s (1984) experiential learning theory to explain steps
instructors can take to develop their intercultural leadership through their interaction with students. We
also apply the values of cultural intelligence in showing the level of reliance on experiential learning as
instructional leaders engage students from various backgrounds. We define cultural intelligence (CQ)
as one’s understanding of what is required to manage and function effectively among individuals from
diverse cultural backgrounds (Earley & Ang, 2003).

We will start with a review of experiential learning assumptions as told by Kolb (1984), including its
application to faculty intercultural development. We will present the four factors of cultural intelligence
as well as the role cultural intelligence plays in supporting experiential learning context.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK: EXPERIENTIAL LEARNING

David Kolb (1984) has advanced the practice of experiential learning, which describes the significant
impact of experience on the need to learn and change. This experiential learning theory (ELT) draws
on the distinguished scholarly work of Kurt Lewin, John Dewey, and John Piaget who also assert that
learning involves the integration of experience with concepts and relating observations to actions (Ng,
Van Dyne, & Ang, 2009; Knowles, Holton, & Swanson, 2012). “For Kolb, learning is not so much the
acquisition or transmission of content as the interaction between content and experience, whereby each