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Abstract

Responding to a provocative question by Hiroharu Seki about Hiroshima ontologies, this chapter reviews related thinking about the ontological primitives appropriate for event-data making, accessing high-performance knowledge bases, and modeling intelligent complex adaptive systems of use to researchers on war and peace. It cautions against “Cliocide,” defined as the “silencing” or symbolic killing of collective historical-political or historical-disciplinary identities and identifying practices by historical or discipline deficient “scientific” coding practices. It proposes that more intelligent multi-agent models in the “complex, adaptive systems” tradition of the Santa Fe Institute should include the socially shared memories of nations and international societies, including their identity-redefining traumas.
and their relational/migrational/ecological histories of community-building success and failure. Historicity in an ontologically distinctive sense of the “time ordered self-understandings of a continuing human society” is still a challenge for the computationally oriented literature on war and peace.

Introduction

Faced with the challenge to honor the late Hiroharu Seki, whom I knew and have admired for several decades, I was at first not sure what would be an appropriate appreciation of his significant, wide-ranging career. The theme of ontologies relevant to researchers interested in peace and war finally crystallized in my mind followed hearing him speak from the audience at a recent International Studies Association meeting. Politely, but insistently, he asked a distinguished panel of scholars (I paraphrase): how can you put Hiroshima ontology into your approach to international relations? At a panel where epistemological and ontological pluralism was a central issue, and before an inter-disciplinary professional group where socially constructed international relationships have been a hot topic, his remark was both highly appropriate and particularly challenging.

Seki’s difficult but powerful insistence deserves sustained reflection. I see his injunction as closely related to the development of a collective sense of history as a basis for both an international society of states and a global society of individuals having the capacity to direct themselves towards a better future, away from horrifying pasts, toward a world where Hiroshimas, Auschwitzs, and Chernobyls never reoccur. In other words, Seki appeared to be trying to discover how can a powerful, shared sense of history and tradition, of prohibitions and morally preferable possibilities, be developed, shared, and deeply transmitted to future generations. I think he had in mind their culturally transmitted, phenomenological, and ontological ways of seeing and encoding reality, as well as their more consciously reflected upon norms and practices.

To pay homage to Professor Seki, I have decided to make some earlier thoughts along similar lines more widely available. First, I shall recall briefly the international relations simulation world of the 1960s, which Hiroharu