Chapter XIII
Feature Level Fusion

ABSTRACT

This chapter introduces the basis of feature level fusion and presents two feature level fusion examples. As the beginning, Section 13.1 provides an introduction to feature level fusion. Section 13.2 describes two classes of feature level fusion schemes. Section 13.3 gives a feature level fusion example that fuses face and palm print. Section 13.4 presents a feature level fusion example that fuses multiple feature presentations of a single palm print trait. Finally, Section 13.5 offers brief comments.

13.1 INTRODUCTION

Fusion at the feature level means that the combination of different biometric traits occurs at an early stage of the multi-biometric system (Chang, Bowyer, & Sarkar, 2003; Gunatilaka & Baertlein, 2001; Gunes & Piccardi, 2005; Jain, Ross, & Prabhakar, 2004; Kober, Harz, & Schiffers, 1997; Kong, Zhang, & Kamel, 2006; Ross & Govindarajan, 2005; Ross & Jain, 2003). The traits fused at the feature level will be used in the matching and decision-making modules of the multi-biometric system to obtain authentication results. As pointed out by Jain, Ross and Prabhakar (2004) and other researchers (Choi, Choi, & Kim, 2005; Ratha, Connell, & Bolle, 1998; Singh, Vatsa, Ross, & Noore, 2005), it is likely that the integration at the feature level is able to produce a higher accuracy than fusion at the matching score level and fusion at the decision level. This is because the feature representation conveys richer information than the matching score or the verification decision (i.e. accept
or reject) of a biometric trait. In contrast, the decision level is so coarse that much information loss will be caused (Sim, Zhang, Janakiraman, & Kumar, 2007). Following are some examples of fusion at the feature level in the field of biometrics. Chang, Bowyer and Sarkar (2003) fused appearance traits of the face and ear at the feature level. They concatenated the traits of the face and ear and exploited the resultant new one-dimensional data to perform personal authentication. To reduce the dimensionality of the new data, they extracted features from the new data. Ross and Govindarajan (2005) discussed fusion at the feature level in the following different scenarios such as fusion of PCA and LDA coefficients of face images and fusion of face and hand modalities. Kong, Zhang and Kamel (2006) fused the phase information of different Gabor filtering results at the feature level to perform palm print identification. Gunatilaka and Baertlein (2001) proposed a feature-level fusion approach for fusing data generated from non-coincidently sampled sensors. Other feature level fusion examples include fusion of visual and acoustic signals (Kober, Harz, & Schiffers, 1997), the fusion of face and body information (Gunes & Piccardi, 2005), fusion of face and fingerprint (Rattani, Kisku, Bicego, & Tistarelli, 2006, 2007), fusion of side face and gait (Zhou & Bhanu, 2008), fusion of iris and face and (Son & Lee, 2005), fusion of palm print and palm vein (Wang, Yau, Suwandy, & Sung, 2008), fusion of lip and audio (Chetty & Wagner, 2008), etc. Feature level fusion is also implemented for other fields such as medical image fusion (Kor & Tiwary, 2004; Patnaik, 2006) object classification (Wender & Dietmayer, 2007), machinery fault diagnosis (Liu, Ma, & Mathew, 2006) and content-based image retrieval (Rahman, Desai, & Bhattacharya, 2006).

A number of techniques or approaches may be incorporated with feature level fusion. For example, when feature level fusion is implemented, linear feature extraction methods are usually employed to reduce the dimension of the resultant data of the fusion process (Son & Lee, 2005). This is also helpful for reducing the risk of curse of dimensionality (Rattani, Kisku, Bicego, & Tistarelli, 2006). When the fused data are in the form of color images, the approach of respectively fusing data at different channels (Liu & Liu, 2007; Ross & Govindarajan, 2005) is a good way. In addition, some approaches were used to overcome the problem that multiple modalities have incompatible feature sets. For example, in order to address the issue that the minutiae feature point sets of fingerprint are not compatible with the appearance-based image feature, Rattani, Kisku, Bicego and Tistarelli (2007) proposed to make the minutiae feature point rotation and translation invariant and introduced the keypoint descriptor (Ross & Govindarajan, 2005). Feature selection technique may be involved in feature level fusion (Kumar & Zhang, 2006; Liu, Meng, Hong, Wang, & Song, 2007).
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