This instructional case, based on an actual firm’s experience (name changed) is intended to challenge student thinking with regard to the extent to which information technology (IT) can demonstrably contribute to organizational performance and productivity, and to which users of IT can relate their investment decisions to measurable outcomes. Relationships between an organization’s investment in IT and the effect of such investments on the organization’s performance and productivity have long been the subject of discussion and research. Managers, interested in knowing the “payoff” of such investments, are continually seeking answers to this question. A failure to understand the benefits of IT investment, or an over- or under-estimation of the benefits of a planned investment in IT relative to the costs, will likely result in less than optimal investment decisions.

BACKGROUND

Real estate is a natural and therefore limited resource. The total value of U.S. real estate has grown from the time of the island of Manhattan purchase for $24.00, to a current day $3 trillion. Whether used for commercial, residential, or federally protected use, land is a commodity that has experienced phenomenal growth over the past three decades, albeit with temporary setbacks. The majority of the world’s land remains free of human habitation. It lies in the same natural state as it has since the creation of the earth. However, following a decline in the 1980’s, the portions that have been developed for human habitat are experiencing a renaissance in value and, for property owners, increased earnings. As an industry, real estate has been viewed as a fragmented sector of commerce. Ownership was, and in many ways still is, an unsecured risk. As stated in Forbes magazine (December 29, 1997), “most of the commercial real estate in the U.S. is owned by private groups or individuals….Somewhere between $2 trillion and $3 trillion worth, and very little
of it publicly owned.”

The real estate bandwagon has not always been so robust. During the early 1980’s, realty magnates consumed everything they could get their hands on. The cost of capital was low and banks and financial lenders were more than willing to loan cash or provide credit for such investments. Savings and loans institutions were multiplying and growing as fast as deals were brought to their loan officers. The U.S. economy was on a roll and real estate lending was unstoppable. However, all that glitters is not gold. By the mid-to-late 1980’s the economy had begun to deteriorate. Over-leveraged financial institutions were faced with declining profits. The once high-flying financial markets were showing signs of correction. Savings and loans were filing for bankruptcy and the Federal government was called upon to bail out the millions of dollars in worthless bonds that had flooded the American economy a few years earlier.

Perhaps no other industry better characterizes the decline of the U.S. economy during the 1980’s than that of real estate. Potential investors in real estate were weary of the irresponsibility of banks in lending capital to develop shallow development deals and transparent structures. Real estate, ranging from land development to shopping centers, hotels, and apartment complexes, collapsed under the weight of its own rapid growth and over saturation. No one was interested in backing new deals, and it would take years of increased demand before the real estate market would begin to recover.

**Real Estate Investment Trusts**

President Dwight D. Eisenhower signed the Real Estate Investment Trust Tax Provision into law in 1960. The purpose of the provision was to motivate investment in the U.S. real estate market by granting preferential tax treatment to Real Estate Investment Trusts (REIT), thus allowing greater returns on investments. In order to qualify as a REIT, a firm was required to meet stringent taxable income rules. The optimal benefit of the REIT was that no portion an organization’s net income was taxable, either at the local or federal level. However, to maintain REIT status, companies were required to distribute all earnings as dividends. Since REIT’s were not subject to a corporate tax, the shareholders’ distributions were larger than that of a standard publicly held corporation.

**Creation of the AMERIREAL Corporation**

The formation of AMERIREAL Corporation launched an unprecedented approach to corporate real estate ownership. Prior to that time, the majority of real estate companies and REIT’s were privately held and lacked long term vision. Owners were in the real estate market for a quick return and consequently paid little or no attention to long-term stability and shareholder value. AMERIREAL’s founder, Bob Stillman, believed in the value and discipline of securitizing the real estate enterprise. His approach was to establish publicly held companies that were accountable for their actions and guided by strong management and impartial boards of directors.

AMERIREAL Corporation began operations in 1988. Its mission was to become the preeminent provider of real estate research, investment, and management of operating companies. By the end of 1988, AMERIREAL owned over two million shares of True Value Trust (TVT). TVT was a REIT dedicated to luxury residential housing throughout the Midwestern U.S. AMERIREAL had purchased the shares of TVT at a cost of $7.79
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