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The value of information technology (IT) in today’s organizations is constantly debated. Researchers and practitioners have examined organizations to try to discover causal links between competitive advantage and IT. This paper presents and details a model that depicts a possible connection between competitive advantage and IT. Furthermore, this paper attempts to show how one major component of the overall IT resources, the information systems (IS) infrastructure, might yield sustained competitive advantage for an organization. More precisely, IS infrastructure flexibility is examined as an enabler of “core competencies” that have been closely related to sustained competitive advantage in the research literature. The core competencies enabled by IT that are the focus of this study are mass customization and time-to-market. By showing that IS infrastructure flexibility acts as an enabler of these competencies, the relationship to sustained competitive advantage is demonstrated.

INTRODUCTION

A fiercely competitive business environment is an omnipresent reality in many commercial industries today. Forces such as global competition, ever changing consumer attitudes, rapidly decreasing cycles of technological innovations, social and cultural upheavals, and instantaneous access to widespread information have been catalysts of this competitive climate. These competitive pressures have prompted business organizations in virtually every industry to institute radical
organizational initiatives and mandates to do battle among themselves. In recent
years, senior management in large and small organizations has tried many different
maneuvers such as total quality management (Choi & Behling, 1997), reengineering
(Hammer, 1990; Hammer & Champy, 1993), downsizing (Robbins & Pearce,
1992), rightsizing (Zeffane & Mayo, 1994), and flattening organizational structures
(Daft & Lewin, 1993; Heydebrand, 1989) to stay competitive or to gain a sustained
competitive advantage.

Many researchers and practitioners have advocated using information tech-
nology (IT) as a source of competitive advantage (Benjamin, Rockart, & Scott
Morton, 1984; Clemons, 1986, 1991; Feeny, 1988; King, Grover, & Hufnagel,
1989; Neo, 1988; Parsons, 1983; Porter & Millar, 1985). Companies such as Wal-
Mart, American Airlines, and Baxter International have been cited as corporations
that gained sustained competitive advantage from IT. This paper investigates this
concept of IT being an agent of competitive advantage and attempts to show how
one major component of the overall IT resource, information systems (IS)
infrastructure flexibility, might yield sustained competitive advantage for a
firm. More precisely, IS infrastructure flexibility is examined through its
relationships as an enabler of core competencies that have been closely linked
to sustained competitive advantage in the management literature. The core
competencies that are closely linked here with IS infrastructure flexibility are
mass customization and time-to-market.

At one time, the competitive value of IT was thought to come from so-called
strategic information systems (SISs) (Reich & Benbasat, 1990; Sabherwal & King,
1995; Sabherwal & Tsoumpas, 1993; Wiseman, 1988). SISs change the goals,
operations, products, or environmental relationships of organizations to help them
gain an advantage, at least temporarily, over other companies in their industry
(Wiseman, 1988). During the 1980s and early 1990s, strategic systems like
American Airlines’ Sabre System (Hopper, 1990), Digital Equipment Corporation’s
XCON (Sviokla, 1990), Federal Express’s tracking and sorting system (Stahl,
1995), and Baxter’s International ASAP system (Scott, 1988) were popular. Many
companies were desperately trying to develop their own SISs to win customers and
market share.

However, some recent research evidence has cast doubt on the ability of SISs
to sustain competitive advantage for their companies. Mata, Fuerst, and Barney
(1995) reasoned that proprietary technologies like SISs are becoming increasingly
difficult to keep proprietary. They noted that a wide variety of factors–workforce
mobility, reverse engineering, and formal and informal technical communications–
are present to disseminate detailed information about proprietary technology like
SISs. Kettinger, Grover, Subashish, and Segars (1994) provided evidence that
companies implementing SISs typically did not maintain their competitive advan-
tage over time without other factors being present. In their study, they uncovered
information that the preexistence of unique structural characteristics is an important
determinant of SISs outcomes, that is, whether they provide sustained competitive
advantage or not. Neumann (1994) also rationalized that SISs need complementary
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