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ABSTRACT

This article is an attempt to investigate the role of negotiation support systems (NSSs) in supporting inter-team negotiations, which have become commonplace for today’s business negotiations. Inter-team negotiations differ from simple dyadic negotiations, and are associated with enormous complexity introduced by the negotiating team dynamics. When there are three or more members in a negotiating team, coalition formation by team members stands out as an intriguing phenomenon, of which cultural diversity is a primary antecedent. NSSs through altering coalition formation dynamics have important impacts on various negotiation outcomes. An experiment was conducted to test our research model and hypotheses. A content analysis was undertaken to measure the extent of coalition formation. Besides the quantitative approach, a qualitative analysis was also conducted to further enhance our exploratory efforts in examining the phenomenon. The results provide initial evidence of the usefulness of NSSs in supporting inter-team negotiations and have both theoretical and practical implications.
INTRODUCTION

Negotiation has been treated as persuasive social processes, involving dyads, small groups, organizations, or governments in an attempt to “define or redefine the terms of their interdependence” (Walton & McKersie, 1965, p. 3). Much research on cognitive and social processes of negotiation has been conducted in the context of dyadic interpersonal negotiations. However, today’s organizations are increasingly relying on teams as the prevalent mode for negotiations. In line with Lewicki, Saunders, Minton, and Barry (2003), we adopt the term inter-team negotiation to describe the situations where two or more co-negotiators sharing interests and priorities negotiate with two or more co-negotiators on the other side who share their own interests and priorities. A few negotiation researchers have looked into inter-team negotiations (see Lewicki et al., 2003 for a review). Nonetheless, there is an absence of the examination into the intra-team dynamics, which constitute an important level of analysis in the study of inter-team negotiations. When there are three or more members in a negotiating team, the phenomenon of coalition formation could easily arise. In terms of group structure, coalition formation can be seen as a step upward from individual controls to integrated preferences, which if consistently occurs between a subset of members, is conceivably detrimental to the inter-team negotiation process and outcomes.

Coalition Formation

A coalition is defined as two or more parties who cooperate to obtain a mutually desired outcome that satisfies the interests of the coalition rather than those of the entire group within which it is embedded (Murnighan, 1986). Lau and Murnighan (1998) posit that faultlines and coalition formation are closely connected. Group faultlines can be conceived as hypothetical dividing lines that may split a group into subgroups based on one or more attributes. Depending on the similarity and saliency of group members’ attributes, groups may have many potential faultlines, each of which may activate or increase the potential for particular subgroupings. Lau and Murnighan suggest that task characteristics moderate how faultlines exaggerate or mitigate subgroup formations; especially at early stages of group development, task type may exacerbate perceived differences among subgroups.