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ABSTRACT

This chapter explicates interviewing as a viable research method for studying virtual work. The chapter begins with a review of the existing interdisciplinary scholarship on qualitative interviewing along with three modes of interviewing, interviewing techniques, formats, and rigor. Next, the chapter reviews exemplary research reports on virtual work to illustrate best practices in interviewing and data analysis. Finally, suggestions for collecting, analyzing, and interpreting interview data about virtual work are discussed.

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

“Qualitative research is an umbrella term that encompasses several philosophical or theoretical orientations” (Merriam, 2002, p. 15); it includes methodologies such as biography, case study, ethnography, and phenomenology (Creswell, 1998; Merriam, 2002). Qualitative research provides a viable alternative to the limits imposed by the positivist research model and empirical analyses. A major strength of qualitative inquiry lies in its ability to provide a depth of understanding about the phenomenon under study (Rubin, 2000). Qualitative methods provide rich accounts of human experiences and, of particular interest to social scientists, how interactants apply meanings
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INTERVIEWING

Scholars have attempted to define the method of interviewing with different emphases. Rubin and Rubin (1995) refer to interviewing as the art of hearing data. They further explain, “Qualitative interviewing is a way of finding out what others feel and think about their worlds. Through qualitative interviews you can understand experiences and reconstruct events in which you did not participate” (p. 1). Furthermore, interviewing involves many choices. Hookway (2008) argues that the interviewing method for data collection depends on participants’ willingness to generously share their feelings, thoughts, and experiences. On the other hand, Kvale (1996) maintains, “Interviewing is a craft: It does not follow content- and context-free rules of method, but rests on the judgments of a qualified researcher” (Kvale, 1996, p. 105). In both statements, the subjective choices by the participants and the researcher play an important role in data collection and interpretation.

Lastly, Seidman (1998) argues that interviewing is a method that “provides access to the context of people’s behavior and thereby provides a way for researchers to understand the meaning of that behavior… Interviewing allows us to put behavior in context and provides access to understanding their action” (p. 4). This definition highlights the need to understand participants’ meaning making and the context in which meaning making take place. Overall, qualitative interviewing involves hearing the data, finding out the feelings and thoughts of the informants, researchers’ making active interpretations and decisions, as well as understanding the meaning and context that prompted informants’ behaviors. Given this overview of the different definitions of interviewing, we will discuss three particular modes of interviewing modalities.

Face-to-Face Interviewing: Conducting an interview in person has several advantages. First, meeting face-to-face allows the researcher to pick up subtle nonverbal cues, such as hand gestures, body positioning, eye contact, facial expression, etc. (Holt, 2010). These nonverbal cues may provide useful insights for later data analysis, interpretation, and coding. Second, informants...