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ABSTRACT

This chapter argues that online academic journals are not only a legitimate venue and sustainable source of disciplinary inquiry, but an important professional development opportunity for graduate students as future faculty and are therefore crucial to maintaining a discipline’s ethos. The authors begin by reviewing the ethos of individually produced print publications in the humanities, paying particular attention to the value such publications hold in helping scholars earn tenure and promotion. The authors then posit that efforts within the rhetoric and writing scholarly community to recognize the collaborative nature of multimodal digital texts and to advocate for the collaborative production of such digital texts, which has helped such scholarship achieve a higher level of ethos over the past two decades. Emphasizing the role of graduate students in these ongoing efforts, the authors conclude by recommending three benchmarks developing and advanced scholars should implement to increase their own professional development and thus the ethos of online academic publishing: curricular development, team development, and dissertation research.

INTRODUCTION

As Hawisher and Selfe noted (1997), editorial roles on scholarly journals are exceptionally helpful to graduate student professional development in that these future faculty are more successfully able to dialogue with both new and established colleagues in a process that is reciprocal and supportive, enabling a mentoring approach in the development of digital scholarship. Although Hawisher and Selfe were writing at a time when online journals were few and far between, in many ways, the advent of these digital scholarly models have helped to achieve this professional goal. This chapter will address the need to provide meaningful opportunities for mentoring graduate students for roles as faculty who foster multi-
modal composing in both teaching and research; we argue that developing these opportunities is a necessity for all disciplines, but we will focus on existing models of scholarship found in rhetoric and composition to develop our points. In the spirit of Lisa Ede and Andrea Lunsford’s (1990) collaborative scholarship over the years, we will also argue that collaborative journal administration moves away from isolating hierarchical models of mentoring and publishing within the academy toward a community of scholar-teachers.

As the Modern Language Association Taskforce on Evaluating Scholarship for Tenure and Promotion (2006) initially suggested, despite the recognition of the potential of various digital tools to produce and distribute collaborative scholarship in the field, very often our incentive and reward systems still privilege single-authored alphabetic literacy models, and tenure and promotion committees, as well as department chairs, have little to no experience in assessing online scholarship. Indeed, Purdy and Walker (2010) argued that “While both faculty members using digital tools and committees charged with evaluating tenure-and-promotion cases have tried to create appropriate categories for digital scholarship, their success remains partial” (p. 177). Our chapter will argue that the scholarly collective necessary to sustain online publishing reacts against traditional evaluative models of scholarship that have limited the voices that can speak and the modalities in which they can be heard. Through our perspective as two editors of the journal Computers and Composition Online, we will advocate for the ethos of digital scholarship as a legitimate venue and sustainable source of disciplinary inquiry, and thus a viable professional development opportunity for graduate students as future faculty.

The Ethos of Print

In his influential book Scholarship Reconsidered, Ernest Boyer (1990) called upon the academy to rethink its definition of scholarship in ways that not only break down binaries between research and teaching but also between the individual and collaborative. As Boyer concluded, “professors, to be fully effective, cannot work continuously in isolation. It is toward a shared vision of intellectual and social possibilities—a community of scholars—that the … dimensions of academic endeavor should lead. In the end, scholarship at its best, should bring faculty together” (p. 80). Despite Boyer’s emphasis upon the collaborative and upon a broadened range of scholarly activity that values pedagogy, engagement with the community, and integration as opposed to separation of the disciplines, more than two decades later, Boyer’s ideas are, for many, more rhetoric than reality. While certainly some disciplines in both the sciences and social sciences recognize and value collaborative research, it is not likely to be pedagogical, and still others may continue to privilege single-authored scholarship in print form.

For instance, the 2006 Taskforce of the Modern Language Association’s Report on Tenure and Promotion hailed Boyer’s emphasis on the scholarship of teaching and stated that the “goals of scholarship in the fields represented by the MLA would be better served if the monographic book were not so broadly required or considered the gold standard for tenure and promotion” (p. 26). The Taskforce Report acknowledges the lack of progress when reviewing statistical data that suggests the single-authored monograph is considered “a reasonable demand” at a range of colleges and universities with varying missions rather than just the Carnegie doctorate-granting institutions (see “About Carnegie Classification” for details about this classification system). But whether it be a book or an article, individually written or collaborative, what trumps the “tyranny of the monograph” (Waters, 2001) is the “ethos of print.” Such an ethos is built upon the presumption that print is somehow more rigorously imbued with an innate quality that results from a blind peer review
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